



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Muthanna Fadhel  
DOCKET NO.: 22-56497.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 24-17-207-003-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Muthanna Fadhel, the appellant, by attorney Andreas Mamalakis, of the Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis in Kenosha; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$5,040  
**IMPR.:** \$32,873  
**TOTAL:** \$37,913

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 3-story, multi-family building of masonry exterior construction with 4,785 square feet of gross building area. The building is approximately 32 years old. Features include a slab foundation and 3 full bathrooms. The property has a 6,300 square foot site and is located in Chicago Ridge, Worth Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted three equity comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood and from 0.08 to 0.16 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with 3-story, class 2-11 multi-family buildings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 5,178 to 5,625 square feet of gross building

area. The buildings range in age from 27 to 30 years old. Each comparable has a slab foundation, from 5 to 10 full bathrooms, either 5 or 6 half bathrooms, central air conditioning, and one fireplace. Two comparables each have a 3-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$22,509 to \$30,150 or of either \$4.35 or \$5.36 per square foot of gross building area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's improvement assessment be reduced.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$37,913. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$32,873 or \$6.87 per square foot of gross building area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood and ¼ of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story or 3-story, class 2-11 multi-family buildings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 3,850 to 4,956 square feet of gross building area. The buildings range in age from 43 to 47 years old. Each comparable has a full basement and from 3 to 6 full bathrooms. One comparable has 2 half bathrooms and one comparable has a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$29,272 to \$34,399 or from \$6.93 to \$7.77 per square foot of gross building area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted seven suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the board of review comparables which are less similar to the subject in age than the appellant's comparables and feature a basement foundation, which the subject lacks. Further, three of these comparables have a dissimilar 2-story design, in contrast to the subject's 3-story design.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables which are relatively similar to the location, design/class, foundation type, and age with varying degrees of similarity in bathroom count, dwelling size, and other features. The best comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$22,509 to \$30,150 and of either \$4.35 or \$5.36 per square foot of gross building area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$32,873 or \$6.87 per square foot of gross building area falls above the range established by the best comparables in this record and appears to be excessive. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant demonstrated that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment, commensurate with the appellant's request, is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: March 17, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Muthanna Fadhel, by attorney:  
Andreas Mamalakis  
Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis  
4844 89th Place  
Kenosha, WI 53142

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602