



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Allison Rosati Dennis
DOCKET NO.: 22-54472.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 18-30-306-055-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Allison Rosati Dennis, the appellant, by attorney Brian P. Liston of the Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **no change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$20,123
IMPR.: \$80,279
TOTAL: \$100,402

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of stucco exterior construction with 5,446 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 27 years old. The home features a full basement finished with a recreation room,¹ four full bathrooms, two half bathrooms, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 3-car garage. The property has a 27,757 square foot site and is located in Burr Ridge, Lyons Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-09 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code and property classification code

¹ The board of review disclosed the subject dwelling has a full basement that is finished with a recreation room, which was not refuted by the appellant.

as the subject. The comparables are located from .1 of a mile to 1 mile from the subject, two of which are also on the same street and within the same block as the subject property. According to the property characteristic printouts provided by the appellant, the comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 5,275 to 5,727 square feet of living area. The dwellings are from 20 to 30 years old. The comparables each have a full basement. No data was provided by the appellant concerning finished area of the comparables. Each comparable has central air conditioning, four full bathrooms, one or two half bathrooms, two or three fireplaces and either a 3-car or a 3.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$69,666 to \$81,329 or \$13.01 and \$14.20 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$74,338 or \$13.65 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$100,402. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$80,279 or \$14.74 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are located on the same street and within the same block as the subject property. The comparables are class 2-08 or class 2-09 properties that are improved with two-story dwellings of stucco exterior construction ranging in size from 4,734 to 5,548 square feet of living area. The dwellings are from 21 to 28 years old. The comparables each have a full or partial basement, three of which have finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning, three to five full bathrooms, two to four fireplaces and either a 3-car or a 4-car garage. Three comparables each have one or two additional half bathrooms. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$78,626 to \$107,830 or from \$16.31 to \$20.20 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted seven comparable properties for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparable #2 due to its distant location from the subject being a mile away in relation to the other comparables in the record that are located on the same street and within the same block as the subject property. The Board has given reduced weight to board of review comparables #3 and #4 due to their smaller dwelling sizes when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #1 and #3, along with board of review comparables #1 and #2, which overall are more similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, design and age. However, the appellant's two comparables were not reported to have basement finish, a feature of the subject, suggesting upward adjustments would be required to make the comparables more equivalent to the subject. Additionally, all four of the best comparables have varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject dwelling in bathroom count, fireplace count and garage capacity, suggesting additional adjustments would be required for these differences in order to make the comparables more equivalent to the subject. Nevertheless, the comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$69,666 to \$107,830 or from \$13.01 to \$20.20 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$80,279 or \$14.74 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in the record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

May 20, 2025



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

ALLISON ROSATI DENNIS, by attorney:
Brian P. Liston
Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C.
200 S. Wacker Drive
Suite 820
Chicago, IL 60606

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602