



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Mark Rehor
DOCKET NO.: 22-54362.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 18-19-205-014-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Mark Rehor, the appellant, by attorney Brian P. Liston, of the Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$19,163
IMPR.: \$56,549
TOTAL: \$75,712

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of stucco exterior construction with 4,735 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 64 years old. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a 3-car garage. The property has a 28,390 square foot site and is located in Indian Head Park, Lyons Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-06 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument the appellant submitted evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on August 16, 2019 for a price of \$680,000. The appellant completed Section IV of the appeal petition disclosing the parties to the sale were not related, the property sold using a realtor, the sale was not due to foreclosure, and the sale was by contract for deed. In support of the sale, the appellant submitted copies of a

Warranty Deed, settlement statement that did not disclose payment of realtors' commissions, and a closing disclosure for a \$544,000 loan on August 1, 2019. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$75,712. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$757,120 or \$159.90 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the level of assessment for class 2 property of 10% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on two comparable sales¹ in Indian Head Park or Hinsdale and located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables have 20,662 and 21,780 square foot sites that are improved with 2-story, class 2-08 or 2-09 homes of frame and masonry exterior construction. The dwellings have 4,690 and 6,784 square feet of living area and are 12 or 16 years old. Each home has a basement, one of which has finished area, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, and a 3-car or a 3.5-car garage. The comparables sold in October 2020 and May 2022 for prices of \$1,100,000 and \$1,250,000 or \$234.54 and \$184.26 per square foot of living area, including land, respectively. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellant submitted evidence of an August 2019 sale of the subject and the board of review presented two comparables sales in support of their respective positions before the Board. The Board gives less weight to the August 2019 sale of the subject as this sale did not occur proximate in time to the assessment date, Moreover, this sale did not have the elements of an arm's length transaction as the appellant did not demonstrate the subject property was advertised or exposed on the open market.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value in the record to be the board of review's comparables, which sold more proximate in time to the assessment date but have varying degrees of similarity to the subject in dwelling size, age, location, site size, and features. These comparables are significantly newer homes than the subject and one comparable is a substantially larger home than the subject, suggesting downward adjustments to these comparables would be needed to make them more equivalent to the subject. However, these comparables have smaller sites than the subject and one comparable lacks finished basement area that is a feature of the subject, suggesting upward adjustments for these features would be

¹ The board of review presented three comparables but reported sales data for only two comparables.

needed. The comparables sold for prices of \$1,100,000 and \$1,250,000 or \$234.54 and \$184.26 per square foot of living area, including land, respectively. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$757,120 or \$159.90 per square foot of living area, including land, which is below the two comparable sales in this record. Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the subject's assessment is reflective of market value and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

August 19, 2025



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Mark Rehor, by attorney:
Brian P. Liston
Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C.
200 S. Wacker Drive
Suite 820
Chicago, IL 60606

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602