

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Jeannette Harris
DOCKET NO.: 22-53649.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 19-13-223-007-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Jeannette Harris, the appellant, by Mary Kate Gorman, attorney-at-law in Tinley Park, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$3,511 **IMPR.:** \$12,139 **TOTAL:** \$15,650

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a one-story dwelling of masonry construction containing 1,274 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 97 years old. Features of the property include a full basement with a formal recreation room, 11/2 bathrooms, and a 2-car garage. The property has a 4,389 square foot site located in Chicago, Lake Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends inequity regarding the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables composed of class 2-03 properties improved with one-story dwellings of masonry construction that range in size from 1,146 to 1,836 square feet of living area. The homes range

¹ The board of review described the subject as having a full basement with a formal recreation room, which was not refuted by the appellant.

in age from 70 to 107 years old. Each comparable has a full basement, 1 or 1½ bathrooms, and a 1-car or a 2-car garage. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and are located from approximately .76 to .89 of a mile from the subject property. Their improvement assessments range from \$6,048 to \$10,000 or from \$5.05 to \$5.45 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$6,701 or \$5.26 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" but misreported the subject's total assessment based on a copy of the Cook County Board of Review decision submitted by the appellant disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$15,650. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$12,139 or \$9.53 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables consisting of class 2-03 properties improved with one-story dwellings of masonry construction that have either 1,068 or 1,156 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 96 to 103 years old. Each property has a full basement with a formal recreation room, 1 or 2 bathrooms, and a 1-car or a 2-car garage. Comparable #2 has central air conditioning and comparable #3 has a fireplace. These properties have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located either in the same block or approximately ¼ of a mile from the subject property. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$13,808 to \$14,700 or from \$11.94 to \$13.76 per square foot of living area.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted information on six assessment equity comparables to support their respective positions. The Board gives less weight to appellant's comparable #2 due to differences from the subject dwelling in age. The Board gives less weight to appellant's comparable #3 due to differences from the subject dwelling in size, being approximately 44% larger than the subject home. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparable #1 and the board of review comparables, which are similar to the subject in age and size. These properties have varying degrees of similarity to the subject in features necessitating adjustments to make them more equivalent to the subject property. Nevertheless, these comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$6,600 to \$14,700 or from \$5.05 to \$13.76 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$12,139 or \$9.53 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fen
	Chairman
a R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

January 21, 2025
MilAL
Challe of the December Toro Associal December

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Jeannette Harris, by attorney: Mary Kate Gorman Attorney at Law 18400 Maple Creek Drive Suite 200 Tinley Park, IL 60477

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602