



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Tom Wang  
DOCKET NO.: 22-53182.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 04-34-409-014-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Tom Wang, the appellant, by attorney Abby L. Strauss, of Schiller Law P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$14,000  
**IMPR.:** \$93,886  
**TOTAL:** \$107,886

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 3,014 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 17 years old. Features of the home include a full basement with finished area,<sup>1</sup> 2½ bathrooms, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 2-car garage. The property has an 8,750 square foot site and is located in Glenview, Northfield Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four comparables located within the subject's same assessment neighborhood and from 0.20 to 0.99 of a mile from

---

<sup>1</sup> The board of review disclosed the subject has a full basement finished with a formal recreation room, which was unrefuted by the appellant.

the subject property. The comparables consist of class 2-78, 2-story dwellings of frame, masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,957 to 3,026 square feet of living area. The homes are from 7 to 35 years old. The comparables have full basements with "0" reported for the finished basement area. Each comparable has 2½ or 4½ bathrooms, central air conditioning, 1 or 2 fireplaces and either a 2-car or a 3-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$57,336 to \$81,312 or from \$19.39 to \$26.87 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$74,445 or \$24.70 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$107,886. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$93,886 or \$31.15 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparables located within the subject's same assessment neighborhood and within the subject's same block or approximately ¼ of a mile of the subject property. The comparables consist of class 2-78, 2-story dwellings of frame or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,838 to 3,094 square feet of living area. The homes are from 5 to 13 years old. Each comparable has a full basement with three having finished area, 2½ to 4½ bathrooms, central air conditioning and a 2-car or a 2.5-car garage. Three comparables have either 1 or 2 fireplaces. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$89,425 to \$121,811 or from \$31.51 to \$39.37 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #1 and #3 as well as the board of review comparables #1, #3 and #4 which are less similar to the subject in age and/or bathroom count than the other comparables in the record.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the parties' remaining comparables that are overall most similar to the subject in location, age, dwelling size and bathroom count. However, these comparables still present varying differences to the subject in other features, including board of review comparable #2 which lacks a fireplace and none of the comparables were reported to have a finished basement area, which are features of the subject property. These three comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$77,200 to \$89,425 or from \$26.00 to \$31.51 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of

\$93,886 or \$31.15 per square foot of living area falls above the range established by the best comparables in the record on an overall basis and within the range on a per-square-foot basis, which is logical given the subject's superior features described above. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

October 21, 2025



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Tom Wang, by attorney:  
Abby L. Strauss  
Schiller Law P.C.  
33 North Dearborn  
Suite 1130  
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602