

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Lawrence S. Malitz DOCKET NO.: 22-52282.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 04-17-300-132-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Lawrence S. Malitz, the appellant, by attorney Timothy C. Jacobs of Kovitz Shifrin Nesbit in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$17,687 **IMPR.:** \$40,590 **TOTAL:** \$58,277

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 2,612 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 48 years old. Features of the home include a full basement,<sup>1</sup> two full bathrooms, one half bathroom, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 2-car garage. The property has a 12,634 square foot site and is located in Northbrook, Northfield Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-04 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on eight equity

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The parties differ as the subject dwelling's basement. The appellant reported in Section V of the Residential Appeal petition that the subject's basement has 2,612 square feet of finished area, whereas the board of review reported the subject's basement was unfinished.

comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code and property classification code as the subject. The comparables are located from .3 of a mile to 1.1 miles from the subject property. The comparables are improved with one-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,313 to 3,822 square feet of living area. The dwellings are from 45 to 70 years old. The comparables each have a full or partial basement with finished area, two or three full bathrooms, one or two fireplaces and either a 2-car or a 2.5-car garage. Six comparables each have an additional half bathroom and seven comparables have central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$26,000 to \$37,838 or from \$9.40 to \$11.24 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$26,701 or \$10.22 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal." The appellant submitted a copy of the Cook County Board of Review decision disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$58,277. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$40,590 or \$15.54 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code and property classification code as the subject. The comparables are located within the same block as the subject, one of which is also located along the same street as the subject. The comparables are improved with one-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction, each containing 2,612 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 48 or 50 years old. Each comparable has a partial unfinished basement, two full bathrooms, one additional half bathroom, one fireplace and a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$43,542 to \$44,590 or from \$16.67 to \$17.07 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eleven comparable properties for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's eight comparables, which are less similar to the subject dwelling in size and/or age than are the comparables submitted by the board of review.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the three comparables submitted by the board of review, which are similar to the subject in location and similar, if not identical, in dwelling size, design, age and features. These three comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$43,542 to \$44,590 or from \$16.67 to \$17.07 per square foot of living area. The

subject's improvement assessment of \$40,590 or \$15.54 per square foot of living area falls below the range established by the best comparables in the record. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fen
	Chairman
a R	asort Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	September 16, 2025
	Middle 14

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

# **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

Lawrence S. Malitz, by attorney: Timothy C. Jacobs Kovitz Shifrin Nesbit 640 N. La Salle Drive Suite 495 Chicago, IL 60654

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602