



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Alias Mathew
DOCKET NO.: 22-51839.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 10-15-215-045-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Alias Mathew, the appellant, by attorney Andrew S. Dziuk, of Andrew Dziuk, Esq. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$8,856
IMPR.: \$62,144
TOTAL: \$71,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 3,164 square feet, two-story residence of masonry construction situated on a 7,380 square feet lot in Skokie of Niles Township, Cook County. The 22-year-old class 2-78 dwelling features 4.5 bathrooms, a full basement, central air conditioning,¹ a fireplace, and a two-car garage.

In support of their assessment inequity argument, the appellant submitted information on five class 2-78 buildings ranging from 2,607 to 3,409 square feet of living space as equity comparables. The

¹ The appellant provided internally inconsistent information regarding the presence of central air conditioning in the subject property. Because the appellant indicated the subject property had air conditioning in the description of the property, which agrees with the board of review's indication that the subject had air conditioning, the Board finds the subject property is equipped with air conditioning.

appellant selected comparables within a mile,² and at least twice the age, of the property at issue. Each comparable included at least a two-car garage, at least two full bathrooms, and were all of masonry construction.

In its “Board of Review Notes on Appeal,” the county board of review asserted the property’s improvement was correctly assessed at \$62,144 (\$19.64 per square foot of living area) for a total property assessment of \$71,000. To support its assessment, the board of review selected four two-story masonry-constructed residences a quarter mile away from the subject property as equity comparables. The board of review’s selections each had a two-car garage, at least 2.5 bathrooms, and were within seven years of the subject property’s age.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The Illinois Constitution requires real estate taxes “be levied uniformly by valuation ascertained as the General Assembly shall provide by law.” Ill. Const., art. IX, § 4 (1970); Walsh v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 181 Ill. 2d 228, 234 (1998). Yet this uniformity provision of the Illinois Constitution does not require absolute equality in taxation; instead, a reasonable degree of uniformity in the taxing authority’s assessments suffices. Peacock v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 339 Ill. App. 3d 1060, 1070 (4th Dist. 2003).

When unequal treatment in the assessment is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e); Walsh, 181 Ill. 2d at 234 (1998). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should comprise assessment documentation for the year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity, and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof.

The Board finds the board of review supplied the best evidence of assessment equity in its comparables #1 through #3. Each of these comparables featured 3.5 bathrooms (to the subject’s 4.5), a full basement, two-car garage, and similar or identical bedroom count to the subject. By contrast, the appellant’s comparables had at least two full bathrooms fewer than the subject property and were at least a mile away from the property in question. Board of review comparables #1 through #3 ranged from 3,207 to 3,720 square feet of livable area for an equitable improvement assessment range of \$19.66 to \$22.18 per square foot of the subject property. As the subject’s improvement assessment of \$19.64 per square foot falls just below the range established by the best comparables in this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject’s improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject’s assessment is therefore not justified.

² The Board observes that the appellant incorrectly asserted comparable #2 was 11 miles away from the subject. Based on the address of the comparable, the Board concludes that the comparable is approximately a mile away from the subject and that the appellant committed a scrivener’s error.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

August 19, 2025



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Alias Mathew, by attorney:
Andrew S. Dziuk
Andrew Dziuk, Esq.
525 North Ada Street
#29
Chicago, IL 60642

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602