

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Jill Mirkovic

DOCKET NO.: 22-51289.001-R-1

PARCEL NO.: 04-13-302-002-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Jill Mirkovic, the appellant, by attorney Jeremy Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$53,187 **IMPR.:** \$162,601 **TOTAL:** \$215,788

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a 2-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 5,580 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 5 years old. Features include a partial basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a 3.5-car garage. The property has a 53,187 square foot site located in Northfield, Northfield Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-09 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends inequity regarding the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located in the subject's assessment neighborhood code. The properties are improved with 2-story, class 2-09 dwellings of frame, masonry, or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 5,092 to 6,625 square feet of living area. The homes range in

age from 1 to 8 years old. Each comparable has a full or partial basement, central air conditioning, and a 3-car or a 4-car garage. Three comparables each have from one to three fireplaces. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$133,440 to \$185,308 or from \$24.85 to \$27.97 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$215,788. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$162,601 or \$29.14 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables, three of which are located in the subject's assessment neighborhood code. The properties are improved with 2-story, class 2-09 dwellings of masonry or stucco exterior construction ranging in size from 5,999 to 11,643 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 7 to 13 years old. Each comparable has a full basement, central air conditioning, from one to four fireplaces, and from a 2.5-car to a 4-car garage. These properties have improvement assessments that range from \$174,522 to \$323,728 or from \$27.80 to \$36.30 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the subject's assessment be confirmed

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives diminished weight to the appellant's comparables #2 and #4 as well as board of review comparables #1, #3, and #4 which differ substantially from the subject in dwelling size. The Board also gives diminished weight to the appellant's comparable #3 which represents a newly constructed, one year old home, and has a significantly lower improvement assessment than the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable #1 and board of review comparable #2 which are more similar to the subject property in design/class, age, dwelling size, and other features. The two best comparables have improvement assessments of \$143,819 and \$174,522 or \$24.85 and \$29.09 per square foot of living area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$162,601 or \$29.14 per square foot of living area is bracketed by the two best comparables in this record and an overall basis but exceeds them on a per square foot basis. After considering adjustments to the two best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	October 21, 2025
	111.1016
	Man On
-	Clark of the Dropouts Tox Amneel Doord

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Jill Mirkovic, by attorney: Jeremy Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 40 Skokie Blvd Suite 150 Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602