



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: George Theodossopoulos
DOCKET NO.: 22-50927.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 03-32-305-024-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are George Theodossopoulos, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$9,900
IMPR.: \$51,633
TOTAL: \$61,533

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction with 2,726 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 67 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, 2 fireplaces and a 2-car garage. The property has a 6,600 square foot site and is located in Arlington Heights, Wheeling Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-06 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on seven equity comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood and within 0.22 of a mile from the subject. The comparables consist of class 2-06, 2-story dwellings of frame, masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,205 to 3,272 square feet of living

area. The dwellings are 63 to 94 years old. Five dwellings have partial or full basements with two having finished area, and two dwellings have crawl space foundations. Three comparables have central air conditioning, and each comparable has 1 or 2 fireplaces and either a 1-car or a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$29,500 to \$51,992 or from \$11.11 to \$17.83 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the improvement assessment be reduced.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal." The appellant submitted a copy of the Cook County Board of Review final decision disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$61,533. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$51,633 or \$18.94 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood and the subject's block or approximately ¼ of a mile from the subject. The comparables consist of class 2-06, 2-story dwellings of frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,263 to 2,612 square feet of living area. The comparables are 67 to 85 years old. Each dwelling has a full basement with finished area. Three comparables have central air conditioning, three comparables have 1 or 2 fireplaces, and each comparable has either a 2-car or a 2½-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$50,100 and \$60,163 or from \$21.24 to \$23.03 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eleven equity comparables for the Board's consideration, which have varying degrees of similarity to the subject in age, dwelling size, foundation type, basement finish and/or other features. Nevertheless, the Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #1, #3, #6 and #7 along with the board of review's comparables #1, #2 and #4 due to their significantly older aged dwellings and/or lack of a basement foundation relative to the subject's full unfinished basement. The Board gives more weight to the appellant's comparables #2, #4 and #5 along with the board of review's comparable #3 which are overall most similar to the subject in location, age, and foundation type but still require adjustments for varying differences in their 7% to 17% smaller dwelling sizes as well as other features. These four comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$34,299 to \$50,100 or from \$13.60 to \$22.14 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$51,633 or \$18.94 per square foot of living area falls above the range established by the most similar comparables in the record in terms of total improvement assessment but within the range on a per

square foot of living area basis. The subject's higher total improvement assessment appears to be logical given the subject's larger dwelling size. Based on this record and after considering the adjustments to the most similar comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that the properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

February 17, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

George Theodossopoulos, by attorney:
George N. Reveliotis
Reveliotis Law, P.C.
1030 Higgins Road
Suite 101
Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602