



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Dimitrios Travlos  
DOCKET NO.: 22-50076.001-R-1 through 22-50076.002-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Dimitrios Travlos, the appellant, by attorney Dimitrios Trivizas, of Dimitrios P. Trivizas, Ltd. in Skokie; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
22-50076.001-R-1	10-20-420-023-0000	4,836	17,227	\$22,063
22-50076.002-R-1	10-20-420-024-0000	4,836	11,485	\$16,321

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of two parcels improved with a multi-level dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 1,572 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 60 years old. Features of the home include a basement with finished area,<sup>1</sup> central air conditioning, and a 2-car garage. The property has a combined 7,440 square foot site and is located in Morton Grove, Niles Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-34 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity regarding the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and within

---

<sup>1</sup> The parties differ regarding basement finish. The Board finds the best evidence of basement finish is found in the board of review's evidence which was not refuted by the appellant.

0.4 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with multi-level, class 2-34 homes of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,321 to 1,759 square feet of living area and ranging in age from 57 to 64 years old. Each home has a basement, central air conditioning, and a 1-car or a 1.5-car garage. Three homes each have a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$22,083 to \$29,110 or from \$15.88 to \$16.85 per square foot of living area.

The appellant submitted final decisions of the board of review disclosing the total combined assessment for the subject of \$38,384. The appellant reported the subject property has a combined improvement assessment of \$28,712 or \$18.26 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$25,782.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal." In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and on the same block or 0.25 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with multi-level, class 2-34 homes of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,221 to 1,574 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 57 to 65 years old. Each home has a basement with finished area and central air conditioning. Two comparables each have a 2-car or a 2.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$23,485 to \$29,741 or from \$18.90 to \$20.00 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of eight equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #3 and the board of review's comparables, due to substantial differences from the subject in dwelling size and/or garage amenity.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #1, #2, and #4, which are more similar to the subject in dwelling size, age, location, and some features, although these comparables are all larger homes than the subject, lack finished basement area that is a feature of the subject, and have a smaller garage than the subject, suggesting adjustments to these comparables would be needed. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$27,526 to \$29,110 or from \$15.88 to \$16.85 per square foot of living area. The

subject's combined improvement assessment of \$28,712 or \$18.26 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in terms of total improvement assessment and above the range on a per square foot basis. Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, including dwelling size, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

September 16, 2025



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Dimitrios Travlos, by attorney:  
Dimitrios Trivizas  
Dimitrios P. Trivizas, Ltd.  
4957 Oakton Street  
No. 217  
Skokie, IL 60077

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602