

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Arif Khan

DOCKET NO.: 22-48737.001-R-1 through 22-48737.003-R-1

PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Arif Khan, the appellant, by attorney Jeremy Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	<b>IMPRVMT</b>	TOTAL
22-48737.001-R-1	04-06-401-035-0000	16,490	0	\$16,490
22-48737.002-R-1	04-06-401-036-0000	16,490	38,792	\$55,282
22-48737.003-R-1	04-06-401-037-0000	16,490	38,792	\$55,282

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

# **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

# **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 5,129 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 39 years old. Features of the home include a full/partial basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 3-car garage. The property has a 19,400 square foot site and is located in Northbrook, Northfield Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-09 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables have 17,436 or 30,400 square foot sites that are improved with 2-or-more-story, class 2-09 homes of masonry exterior construction ranging

in size from 5,152 to 6,987 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 22 to 32 years old. Each home has a basement, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and from a 3-car to a 4-car garage. The comparables sold from January 2020 to February 2021 for prices ranging from \$970,000 to \$1,300,000 or from \$163.77 to \$189.25 per square foot of living area, including land.

The appellant submitted a final decision of the board of review disclosing the total combined assessment for the subject of \$127,054. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,270,540 or \$247.72 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal." In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on two comparable sales located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables have 38,800 and 38,850 square foot sites that are improved with 2-story, class 2-09 homes of masonry exterior construction. The homes have 5,468 and 5,992 square feet of living area and are 22 and 25 years old, respectively. Each home has a basement, one of which has finished area, central air conditioning, and a 3-car garage. One home has two fireplaces. The comparables sold in September 2019 and October 2021 for prices of \$96,020 and \$121,978 or \$17.56 and \$20.36 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of six comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #1 and #4 and the board of review's comparable #2, which sold less proximate in time to the assessment date than the other comparables in this record, and to the appellant's comparable #3, which is a substantially larger home than the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellant's comparable #2 and the board of review's comparable #1, which sold more proximate in time to the assessment date and are relatively similar to the subject in dwelling size, age, location, and some features, although these comparables each have a larger site than the subject and one comparable is a much newer home than the subject, suggesting downward adjustments to these comparables would be needed to make them more equivalent to the subject. These comparables sold for prices of \$970,000 and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The board of review presented four comparables but reported sales data for only two comparables.

\$1,400,000 or \$183.67 and \$256.04 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,270,540 or \$247.72 per square foot of living area, including land, which is bracketed by the best two comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
	Chairman
a R	Sovet Staffer
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Savah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

October 21, 2025		
1111216		
Child Park Table 1		

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

Arif Khan, by attorney: Jeremy Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 40 Skokie Blvd Suite 150 Northbrook, IL 60062

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602