

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Olayiwola Akinwande DOCKET NO.: 22-48294.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 26-08-103-025-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Olayiwola Akinwande, the appellant, by Jessica Hill-Magiera, Attorney at Law in Lake Zurich; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>a reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$4,575 **IMPR.:** \$1,814 **TOTAL:** \$6,389

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story apartment building of masonry construction with 1,672 square feet of gross building area which was built in 1916 and is approximately 106 years old. The building features two full bathrooms, a full unfinished basement, and a 2-car garage with approximately 484 square feet of building area. The property has a 4,575 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Hyde Park Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property¹ under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located from .06 to .41 of a mile from the subject and within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables consist of 2-story, class 2-11

¹ Apartment building with 2 to 6 units, any age.

apartment buildings of frame construction ranging in size from 1,682 to 1,806 square feet of gross building area and built from approximately 1898 to 1908. The comparables are described as each having two bathrooms, a full unfinished basement, and a 484-square foot garage. Comparable #4 has central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$1,463 to \$2,234 or from \$.87 to \$1.24 per square foot of gross building area. The appellant also submitted a brief requesting a reduction to the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$8,387. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$3,812 or \$2.28 per square foot of gross building area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables, two of which are located within ¼ of a mile from the subject and all within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables consist of 2-story, class 2-11 apartment buildings of masonry construction ranging in size from 1,584 to 2,600 square feet of gross building area and ranging in age from 99 to 143 years old. Each comparable features two full baths, a full basement, (one being finished with a recreation room), and a 1.5-car or a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$6,875 to \$8,280 or from \$3.18 to \$4.34 per square foot of gross building area.

In rebuttal, the appellant's counsel critiqued the board of review comparables as being dissimilar to the subject in building size or age and argued that the board of review did not oppose or comment on the appellant's comparables.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eight equity comparables in support of their positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board gave less weight to board of review comparables #1, #3, and #4 due to being significantly larger in gross building area relative to the subject. The Board also gave less weight to board of review comparable #2 based on its substantially older age relative to the subject. On this record, the Board finds the best evidence of equity in assessment to be appellant's comparables which are overall most similar to the subject in location, age, gross building area, bathroom count, and unfinished basements. The best comparables in the record have improvement assessments ranging from \$1,463 to \$2,234 or from \$.87 to \$1.24 per square foot of gross building area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$3,812 or \$2.28 per square foot of gross building area is above the range established by the best comparables in the record both in terms of overall improvement assessment and on a per square foot of gross building area basis.

After considering adjustments to the best comparables for any differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant demonstrated with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement is inequitably assessed and, therefore, a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment commensurate with the appellant's request is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairr	man
a R	Sobert Stafform
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bobbler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	July 15, 2025
	14:1016
	Mallon

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Olayiwola Akinwande, by attorney: Jessica Hill-Magiera Attorney at Law 790 Harvest Drive Lake Zurich, IL 60047

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602