



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Marc Eiseman
DOCKET NO.: 22-48257.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 10-35-400-070-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Marc Eiseman, the appellant, by attorney Dora Cornelio, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$9,170
IMPR.: \$25,760
TOTAL: \$34,930

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a multi-level dwelling of frame exterior construction with 1,662 square feet of above grade living area. The dwelling is approximately 68 years old. Features of the home include a basement with finished area¹ and a 2-car garage. The property has an approximately 6,550 square foot site and is located in Lincolnwood, Niles Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-34 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. Based

¹ The Board finds the best description of the subject property was found in the grid analysis submitted by the board of review which was not refuted by the appellant.

on Property Search Details submitted by the appellant, comparable #2 is a second parcel associated with comparable #1 and therefore shall not be discussed or analyzed further. The comparables are improved with class 2-34 multi-level dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,630 to 1,700 square feet of above grade living area. The homes range in age from 62 to 67 years old. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning and a 1-car or a 2-car garage. One home has a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$20,041 to \$25,560 or from \$12.04 to \$15.63 per square foot of above grade living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$20,824 or \$12.53 per square foot of above grade living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$41,000. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$31,830 or \$19.15 per square foot of above grade living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with multi-level class 2-34 dwellings of frame or masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,290 to 1,870 square feet of above grade living area. The homes range in age from 55 to 65 years old. Each comparable has a basement with finished area and central air conditioning. Two properties have a 2-car or a 2.5-car garage and two homes each have one fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$29,993 to \$38,251 or from \$19.54 to \$25.33 per square foot of above grade living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted seven equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the board of review's comparables which are less similar to subject in age and/or dwelling size than other properties in the record.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables which are more similar to the subject in location, age, design and dwelling size. However, these comparables lack finished basement area, feature central air conditioning and have varying degrees of similarity to the subject in garage size, suggesting adjustments are needed to make these properties more equivalent to the subject. These best comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$20,041 to \$25,560 or from \$12.04 to \$15.63 per square foot of above grade living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$31,830 or \$19.15 per square foot of above grade living area falls above the range established by the best comparables in this record.

After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant demonstrated with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

November 25, 2025



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Marc Eiseman, by attorney:
Dora Cornelio
Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd.
111 W. Washington St.
Suite 1300
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602