

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Odell Smith

DOCKET NO.: 22-48207.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 10-14-405-054-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Odell Smith, the appellant, by Andreas Mamalakis, attorney-at-law of the Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis in Kenosha, Wisconsin, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$8,592 **IMPR.:** \$31,026 **TOTAL:** \$39,618

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.¹

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a multi-level dwelling of masonry exterior construction containing 1,281 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 67 years old. Features of the property include a partial basement with a formal recreation room, central air conditioning, two bathrooms, and a 2-car garage. The property has a 7,160 square foot site located in Evanston, Niles Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-34 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends inequity regarding the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables consisting of class 2-34 properties improved with multi-level dwellings of masonry

¹ The appellant's counsel originally requested a hearing before the Property Tax Appeal Board but subsequently withdrew the request for a hearing.

exterior construction that range in size from 1,296 to 1,350 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 52 to 66 years old. Each property has a partial basement, one fireplace, and 1, 1½ of 2 bathrooms. Two comparables have central air conditioning, and three comparables each have a 2-car garage. These properties have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located from .18 to .42 of a mile from the subject property. Their improvement assessments range from \$23,400 to \$28,200 or from \$18.06 to \$21.14 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$25,658.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$39,618. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$31,026 or \$24.22 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables composed of class 2-34 properties improved with multi-level dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 1,225 to 1,298 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 57 to 67 years old. Each property has a partial basement with a formal recreation room, and 1½ or 2 bathrooms. Three comparables have central air conditioning and two comparables have a 1-car or 2-car garage. These properties have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. Board of review comparables #1 and #2 are located along the same street as the subject property. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$30,014 to \$34,288 or from \$24.50 to \$26.42 per square foot of living area. The board of review contends the building assessed value per square foot for the comparables are the same or higher than the subject, which supports the assessed value as equitable.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted information on nine equity comparables with the same classification code and neighborhood code as the subject property to support their respective positions. The comparables are similar to the subject in location, age, style, and size. These properties have varying degrees of similarity to the subject in features indicating that adjustments would be appropriate to make the properties more equivalent to the subject property. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$23,400 to \$34,288 or from \$18.06 to \$26.42 per square foot of living area. Board of review comparables #1 and #3 are located along the same street as the subject and have improvement assessments of \$32,978 and \$34,288 or \$25.45 and \$26.42 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$31,026 or \$24.22 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the comparables in this record and is below the two comparables most similar to the subject in location.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. *Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett*, 20 Ill. 2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not all assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which exists based on the evidence in this record.

Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. her
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swan Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	December 23, 2025
	Michl 214
	Clade Sale Decrete Ter Averal Decret

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Odell Smith, by attorney: Andreas Mamalakis Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis 4844 89th Place Kenosha, WI 53142

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602