

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Abdul Mohammed DOCKET NO.: 22-47041.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 10-19-312-008-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Abdul Mohammed, the appellant, by attorney Christopher G. Walsh, Jr., of Walsh Law, LLC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$12,309 **IMPR.:** \$26,690 **TOTAL:** \$38,999

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 1-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 1,407 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 69 years old. Features of the home include a basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a 2-car garage. The property has a 9,118 square foot site and is located in Niles, Niles Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument the appellant submitted evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on April 25, 2019 for a price of \$345,000. The appellant completed Section IV of the appeal petition disclosing the sale was not between related parties, the property sold using a realtor and was advertised for sale through the Multiple Listing Service, and the sale was not due to foreclosure or by contract for deed. In

support of the sale, the appellant submitted a copy of a settlement statement disclosing payment of realtors' commissions.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$38,999. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$389,990 or \$277.18 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the level of assessment for class 2 property of 10% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales located 0.25 of a mile from the subject. The comparables have 6,270 and 6,295 square foot sites that are improved with 1-story, class 2-03 homes of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,005 to 1,295 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 67 years old. Each home has a basement, one of which has finished area, and a 2-car or a 2.5-car garage. Two homes have central air conditioning. The comparables sold from January 2019 to February 2022 for prices ranging from \$264,000 to \$440,000 or from \$262.69 to \$339.77 per square foot of living area, including land.

Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellant presented evidence of an April 2019 sale of the subject and the board of review presented four comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the April 2019 sale of the subject and the board of review's comparables #2 and #4, which sold in 2019, less proximate in time to the January 1, 2022 assessment date, and are less likely to be indicative of market value as of that date.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value in the record to be the board of review's comparables #1 and #3, which sold more proximate in time to the assessment date and are similar to the subject in location and age but have varying degrees of similarity to the subject in dwelling size, site size, and features. These comparables have smaller sites than the subject, are smaller homes than the subject, and lack central air conditioning that is a feature of the subject, suggesting upward adjustments to these comparables would be needed to make them more equivalent to the subject. These comparables sold for prices of \$340,000 and \$440,000 or \$338.31 and \$339.77 per square foot of living area, including land, respectively. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$389,990 or \$277.18 per square foot of living area,

including land, which is bracketed by the two best comparable sales in terms of total market value and below the best comparables on a per square foot basis. Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the subject's assessment is reflective of market value and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
	Chairman
C R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Bobber
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

August 19, 2025
WillFUL
Clark of the December Ton Asset December

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

Abdul Mohammed, by attorney: Christopher G. Walsh, Jr. Walsh Law, LLC 111 West Washington Street Suite 1150 Chicago, IL 60602

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602