

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Susan Peterson

DOCKET NO.: 22-46914.001-R-1 through 22-46914.002-R-1

PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Susan Peterson, the appellant(s), by attorney Dora Cornelio, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	<b>IMPRVMT</b>	TOTAL
22-46914.001-R-1	10-21-116-037-0000	4,836	13,644	\$18,480
22-46914.002-R-1	10-21-116-038-0000	4,836	13,644	\$18,480

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject two parcel property is improved with a two-story dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction with 2,099 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 85 years old. Features include an unfinished basement, one fireplace, and a two-car garage. The property is reported to have a combined 7,440 square foot site<sup>1</sup> and is located in Skokie, Niles Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-05 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvements of both parcels as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The board of review set forth the subject in the grid analysis as both "subject" and "comparable #1" where the combined land area depicted is 7,440 square feet.

The comparables are improved with class 2-05 two-story dwellings of frame, masonry, or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,036 to 2,177 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 74 to 84 years old. The homes have full basements two of which have finished area. Three homes have central air conditioning, and each comparable has one or two fireplaces, and from a one-car to a two-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$25,000 to \$31,746 or from \$11.92 to \$14.58 per square foot of living area.

Based on the foregoing evidence, the appellant requested that the improvement assessments of both parcels be reduced for a combined improvement assessment of \$24,960 or \$11.89 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal." The appellant submitted a copy of the final decision depicting total assessments for the two parcels of \$44,304. The subject has a combined improvement assessment of \$34,632 or \$16.50 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted information on three equity comparables identified as comparables #2, #3 and #4 which are located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with class 2-05 two-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction. The homes are 74 to 79 years old and range in size from 1,178 to 1,398 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a full basement one of which has finished area. Two homes have central air conditioning, and one or two fireplaces. Each comparable has from a 1.5-car to a 2.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$25,116 to \$30,460 or from \$21.00 to \$22.04 per square foot of living area.

Based on the foregoing evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the assessment.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted eight suggested equity comparables in support of their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to appellant's comparables #1, #3 and #5 as well as board of review comparables #1 and #3, due to their central air conditioning features when compared to the subject dwelling that lacks central air conditioning. In addition, each of the board of review comparables are significantly smaller than the subject dwelling and have been given reduced weight by the Board.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity in the record consists of the appellant's comparables #2 and #4, which are similar to the subject in classification, age, story height, dwelling size, and some amenities. The Board finds the comparables necessitate various adjustments for small differences in dwelling size, finished basement, and/or garage capacity when compared to the subject. The best comparables have improvement assessments of \$25,094 and \$29,328 or of \$12.24 and \$14.40 per square foot of living area. The subject's combined improvement assessment of \$34,632 or \$16.50 per square foot of living area falls above the range of the best comparables in the record both in terms of overall improvement assessment and on a per-square-foot of living area basis and appears to be inequitable after consideration of necessary adjustments for differences.

Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject to make the comparables more similar to the subject, the Board finds the appellant established with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and reductions in the subject's assessments are warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. hom
	Chairman
	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

### **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

October 21, 2025		
1111216		
Child Park Table 1		

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

### PARTIES OF RECORD

#### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

#### **APPELLANT**

Susan Peterson, by attorney: Dora Cornelio Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. 111 W. Washington St. Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60602

#### **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602