



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Tara Salomon
DOCKET NO.: 22-46463.001-R-1 through 22-46463.002-R-1
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Tara Salomon, the appellant(s), by attorney Jeffrey G. Hertz, of Sarnoff Property Tax in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
22-46463.001-R-1	14-28-123-018-1003	9,990	21,670	\$31,660
22-46463.002-R-1	14-28-123-018-1017	999	3,591	\$4,590

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of two condominium units with a combined 11% ownership interest in the common elements of a 23-unit condominium building located in Chicago, Lake View Township, Cook County. The subject property is classified as a class 2-99 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

Appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of its market value argument, appellant completed Section IV- Recent Sale Data, in its Appeal Form indicating the subject property sold on October 2, 2020, for \$362,500. The subject unit was sold using a realtor and was advertised for sale for 101 days with the MLS. Appellant included copies of the MLS listing and settlement statement. In addition, appellant submitted a copy of the board of review's decision reflecting the subject property's total valuation assessment of \$44,145 for PIN ending in -1003 and \$4,590 for PIN ending in -1017. Based on this evidence, appellant requests a

reduction in the subject property's total valuation assessment to \$31,660 for PIN ending in -1003 for total assessed valuation of \$48,735.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted its "Board of Review – Notes on Appeal" disclosing the subject property's total assessment of \$48,735. This assessment reflects a market value of \$487,350 using the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance for class 2 property of 10%. In its attached evidence, the board of review submits its assessment analysis using the sales of nine condominium units located in the same building as the subject unit, including the sale of the subject unit in 2020. The comparable sales units sold for a total of \$2,330,498. After applying the percentage ownership interest in the common elements of the comparable sales of 52.75%, the board of review calculated a total market value for the subject unit's building of \$4,418,005. After applying the percentage ownership interest in the common elements of the subject unit of 11%, the board of review calculated a total market value for the subject unit of \$485,981.

This matter was set to proceed to hearing. Prior to hearing, the parties submitted a written request to waive hearing and for this matter be written on the evidence previously submitted. The administrative law judge granted the parties' request.

Conclusion of Law

Appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds appellant *did meet* this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment *is warranted*.

A recent, arm's-length sale of the property is the best evidence of its fair market value. *People ex rel. Korzen v. Belt Ry. Co. of Chicago*, 37 Ill. 2d 158, 161 (1967); see also *Walsh v. Property Tax Appeal Board*, 181 Ill. 2d 228, 230 (1998).

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the sale of the subject property unit submitted by the board of review and appellant for \$362,500. Appellant completed Section IV-Recent Sale Data, in its Appeal Form indicating the subject property sold on October 2, 2020, for \$362,500. The subject unit was sold using a realtor and was advertised for sale for 101 days with the MLS. Appellant included copies of the MLS listing and settlement statement. Based on this record the Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$362,500 as of January 1, 2022. Since market value has been determined, a reduction in the subject's assessment commensurate with the appellant's request is appropriate.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

September 15, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Tara Salomon, by attorney:
Jeffrey G. Hertz
Sarnoff Property Tax
100 N. LaSalle Street
10th Floor
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602