



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Ronald Gonka  
DOCKET NO.: 22-46429.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 03-32-331-010-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) are Ronald Gonka, the appellant, by attorney Dora Cornelio, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, PTAB hereby finds **No Change** in the Cook County Board of Review's assessment of the property is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$30,000  
**IMPR.:** \$75,000  
**TOTAL:** \$105,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a Cook County Board of Review decision pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 4,505 square feet, one-story masonry building situated on a 20,000 square feet lot in Arlington Heights, Wheeling Township, Cook County. The 16-year-old, class 2-04 residence under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance included four bathrooms, a full basement, central air conditioning, and a three-car garage. The subject last sold in July 2021 for \$1,050,000.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal, arguing that the assessment should be reduced to \$12.89 per improvement square foot. To show that the subject assessment is not uniform, the appellant proposed five class 2-04 properties in the subject's neighborhood as equity comparables. These suggested comparators had one or two bathrooms, air conditioning, and a full basement or slab foundation. The appellant's selections further ranged between 66 and 93

years in building age; 1,968 and 2,367 in living square footage; and \$12.80 and \$13.02 per improvement square foot in assessment.

The board of review responded that the subject improvement was fairly assessed at \$75,000, or \$16.65 per living square foot in its “Notes on Appeal.” In defense of the \$105,000 total subject assessment, the county board of review offered information about three improvements in the subject’s subarea as assessment benchmarks. The board of review’s preferred comparables all featured three or 3.5 bathrooms, a two-car garage, and air conditioning. These improvements were 13 to 76 years in building age; 2,797 to 3,196 square feet in area; and \$18.40 to \$27.92 per living square foot in assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The Illinois Constitution requires real estate taxes “be levied uniformly by valuation ascertained as the General Assembly shall provide by law.” Ill. Const., art. IX, § 4 (1970); Walsh v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 181 Ill. 2d 228, 234 (1998). This uniformity provision of the Illinois Constitution does not mandate absolute equality in taxation, however; instead, a reasonable degree of uniformity in the taxing authority’s assessments suffices. Peacock v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 339 Ill. App. 3d 1060, 1070 (4th Dist. 2003).

When a property tax appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment, the appellant must prove the inequity of the assessments by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e); Walsh, 181 Ill. 2d at 234 (1998). Clear and convincing evidence means more than a preponderance of the evidence, but it does not need to approach the degree of proof required for a criminal conviction. Bazyldo v. Volant, 164 Ill. 2d 207, 213 (1995). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation for the year in question of similarly situated properties of compelling proximity to, and with a lack of distinguishing characteristics from, the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) finds the appellant did not satisfy this burden of proof.

The parties submitted nine total comparables, but none was sufficiently similar to the subject property to prove assessment inequity. All of the comparables deviated from the subject improvement size by at least 1,309 square feet, or 29% of the subject’s living square footage. The proposed comparators’ apparent distance from the subject property further underscores that the subject was unique relative to nearby properties. In short, absent sufficiently comparable properties in evidence, PTAB is unable to determine an equitable improvement assessment range for the subject. PTAB accordingly finds the appellant did not prove by clear and convincing evidence that the subject improvement is over-assessed and a reduction in the subject’s assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 21, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Ronald Gonka, by attorney:  
Dora Cornelio  
Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd.  
111 W. Washington St.  
Suite 1300  
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602