

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Arnold Ginsburg
DOCKET NO.: 22-46334.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 10-34-124-064-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Arnold Ginsburg, the appellant, by Andreas Mamalakis, attorney-at-law of the Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis in Kenosha, Wisconsin, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$7,792 **IMPR.:** \$32,207 **TOTAL:** \$39,999

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.<sup>1</sup>

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property is improved with a 1.5-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction containing 1,587 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 66 years old. Features of the property include a partial basement with a formal recreation room, central air conditioning, one fireplace, 1½ bathrooms, and a 2.5-car garage. The property has a 5,566 square foot site located in Lincolnwood, Niles Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The appellant's counsel initially requested a hearing when the appeal was filed but subsequently withdrew the hearing request.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In completing the assessment grid analysis, the appellant described the basement's finished area as "N/A" while the board of review indicated the subject has a partial basement with a formal recreation room, which was not refuted by the appellant.

The appellant contends inequity regarding the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables composed of class 2-03 properties improved with one-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction that range in size from 1,575 to 1,792 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 68 to 81 years old. Two comparables have full basements and three comparables have slab foundations. Each property has one or two fireplaces and a 1-car or 2-car garage. The comparables have 1, 1½ or 2½ bathrooms. Three comparables have central air conditioning. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and are located from .15 to .39 of a mile from the subject property. Their improvement assessments range from \$26,894 to \$30,638 or from \$15.79 to \$17.29 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$26,487.

The appellant submitted a copy of the final decision issued by the board of review disclosing the subject property had a total assessment of \$39,999. The appellant indicated the subject property had an improvement assessment of \$32,207 or \$20.29 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" and information on four equity comparables consisting of class 2-03 properties improved with one-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 1,428 to 1,665 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 65 to 71 years old. Each property has a full or partial basement with three having finished area, central air conditioning, 1½ or 2 bathrooms, and a 1-car, 1.5-car or 2-car garage. Two of the comparables each have one fireplace. These properties have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and are located in the same block or ¼ of a mile from the subject property. Their improvement assessments range from \$32,920 to \$36,920 or from \$21.20 to \$23.12 per square foot of living area.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted information on nine equity comparables with the same classification code and neighborhood code as the subject property to support their respective positions. The Board gives less weight to appellant's comparables #1, #2, #3 and #5 due to differences from the subject in size, foundation and/or the lack of the central air conditioning amenity. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparable #4 as well as the board of review comparables that range in size from 1,428 to 1,749 square feet of living area and in age from 68 to 71 years old. The comparables have varying degrees of similarity to the subject in features that would require adjustments to make them more equivalent to the subject property.

Nevertheless, these comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$30,240 to \$36,920 or from \$17.29 to \$23.12 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$32,207 or \$20.29 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fen
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinie	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	November 25, 2025
	Middl 214
	Charles of the Dunary of Terry Annual Design

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

# IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Arnold Ginsburg, by attorney: Andreas Mamalakis Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis 4844 89th Place Kenosha, WI 53142

## **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602