

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Stanislaw Pipala DOCKET NO.: 22-46058.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 12-24-317-012-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Stanislaw Pipala, the appellant, by attorney Andrew S. Dziuk, of Andrew Dziuk, Esq. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$7,563 **IMPR.:** \$22,440 **TOTAL:** \$30,003

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property is improved with a 1-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 1,248 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 46 years old. Features of the home include a full basement, 1 full bathroom, 1 half bathroom and a 2-car garage. The property has a 3,780 square foot site located in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity regarding the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables consist of class 2-03, single family dwellings of masonry exterior construction that range in size from 1,277 to 1,494 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 70 to 77 years old. The comparables

have full or partial basements, but no data was provided if the basements have finished area. Each comparable has 1 full bathroom, and four comparables have either a 1-car or a 1½-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$12,696 to \$15,500 or from \$9.75 to \$10.55 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$12,168 or \$9.75 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal." The appellant submitted a copy of the Cook County Board of Review final decision for the 2022 tax year disclosing the total assessment for the subject property of \$33,003. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$22,440 or \$17.98 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code, block, and street as the subject. The comparables consist of class 2-03, 1-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction that range in size from 1,070 to 1,488 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 15 to 62 years old. Each comparable has a full basement with two having finished area, 1 to 3 full bathrooms, and a 2-car garage. One comparables has 1 half bathroom, and three comparables each have central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$21,440 to \$33,440 or from \$18.23 to \$22.47 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables and the board of review comparables #1 and #3 which are less similar to the subject in age and/or size than the other comparables in the record.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review comparables #2 and #4 which are overall similar to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size and some features. These two comparables have improvement assessments of \$21,440 or \$18.23 and \$20.04 per square foot of living area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$22,440 or \$17.98 per square foot of living area falls above the overall improvement assessment and below the per-square foot improvement assessments of the two best comparables in the record, which is logical given the subject's newer age, larger dwelling size, and additional half bathroom relative to these two comparables. Based on this record and after considering the appropriate adjustments to these two best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence

that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

said office.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman	1
	Solet Steffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	<u>O N</u>
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and compl Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the al	ete Final Administrative Decision of the

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

July 15, 2025

# **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Date:

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Stanislaw Pipala, by attorney: Andrew S. Dziuk Andrew Dziuk, Esq. 525 North Ada Street #29 Chicago, IL 60642

## **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602