



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Sonny Skaria  
DOCKET NO.: 22-45245.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 10-21-323-055-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Sonny Skaria, the appellant, by attorney Andreas Mamalakis, of the Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis in Kenosha; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$9,672  
**IMPR.:** \$23,250  
**TOTAL:** \$32,922

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.<sup>1</sup>

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 1-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 1,328 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 64 years old. Features include a full basement, one fireplace, and a 1.5-car garage. The property has a 7,440 square foot site and is located in Morton Grove, Niles Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted five equity comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood code and from 0.10 to 0.33 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with class 1-story or 1.5-story, 2-03 dwellings

---

<sup>1</sup> The appellant waived the hearing request and requested to have the appeal decided on the written record without objection from the board of review.

of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,138 to 1,565 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 65 to 70 years old. Each comparable has a full or partial basement, one or two fireplaces, and a 1-car or a 1.5-car garage. Two comparables each have central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$18,250 to \$25,130 or from \$13.90 to \$17.22 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$21,142.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$34,213. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$24,541 or \$18.48 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood code and ¼ of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with 1-story, class 2-03 dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,288 to 1,458 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 57 to 68 years old. Each comparable has a full or partial basement, central air conditioning, and a 1.5-car or a 2-car garage. One comparable has two fireplaces. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$28,746 to \$54,125 or from \$22.18 to \$37.12 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The record contains eight suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #1, #3, and #4 as well as the board of review comparables due to substantial differences from the subject in dwelling size and/or which feature central air conditioning, unlike the subject. Further, the appellant's comparable #1 is a dissimilar 1.5-story design when compared to the subject's 1-story design.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #2 and #5 which are overall most similar to the subject in location, design/class, age, dwelling size, and other features. The two best comparables have improvement assessments of \$18,250 and \$23,763 or from \$16.04 and \$17.22 per square foot of living area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$24,541 or \$18.48 per square foot of living area falls above the two best comparables in this record and appears to be excessive. After considering adjustments to the two best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant demonstrated that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

November 25, 2025



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Sonny Skaria, by attorney:  
Andreas Mamalakis  
Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis  
4844 89th Place  
Kenosha, WI 53142

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602