



FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Jose Cruz
DOCKET NO.: 22-43585.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 13-26-404-012-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) are Jose Cruz, the appellant, by attorney Andreas Mamalakis, of the Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis in Kenosha; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, PTAB hereby finds **No Change** in the Cook County Board of Review's assessment of the property is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$14,910
IMPR.:	\$53,821
TOTAL:	\$68,731

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a Cook County Board of Review decision pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) contesting the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

A 2,422 square feet, two-story building of masonry construction on a 4,260 square feet parcel in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County comprises the subject property. The 114-year-old, class 2-11 property featured three bathrooms, a two-car garage, central air conditioning, and a full basement. The appellant indicated that the property last sold in March 2016 and that the appeal was based on assessment equity.

Arguing the subject improvement was not equitably assessed, the appellant contends the assessment rate should be lowered to \$9.46 per improvement square foot to achieve uniformity with like properties. To support the assessment inequity argument, the appellant placed into evidence five class 2-11 properties within .90 miles of the subject with improvement assessments between \$7.58 and \$10.62 per living square foot. The appellant's suggested comparables all featured two fireplaces, two to four bathrooms, and no air conditioning except in submission #5.

These potential comparators varied from 100 to 114 years in building age and from 2,280 to 2,697 square feet in improvement size.

The board of review countered that the subject improvement assessment of \$53,817, or \$22.22 per living square foot, was equitable in its “Notes on Appeal.” In defense of the \$68,731 total subject assessment, the county board of review selected four two-story masonry buildings within a quarter mile of the subject as equity comparables. The board of review’s preferred comparators all featured a full basement, two or three bathrooms, and a one- to 2.5-car garage. These properties were 111 or 113 years in building age; 2,405 to 2,602 in living square footage; and between \$24.58 and \$31.02 per living square foot in improvement assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The Illinois Constitution requires real estate taxes “be levied uniformly by valuation ascertained as the General Assembly shall provide by law.” Ill. Const., art. IX, § 4 (1970); Walsh v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 181 Ill. 2d 228, 234 (1998). Yet this uniformity provision of the Illinois Constitution does not require absolute equality in taxation; instead, a reasonable degree of uniformity in the taxing authority’s assessments suffices. Peacock v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 339 Ill. App. 3d 1060, 1070 (4th Dist. 2003).

When unequal treatment in the assessment is the basis of a property tax appeal, the appellant must prove the inequity of the assessments by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e); Walsh, 181 Ill. 2d at 234 (1998). Clear and convincing evidence means more than a preponderance of the evidence, but it does not need to approach the degree of proof needed for a criminal conviction. Bazyldo v. Volant, 164 Ill. 2d 207, 213 (1995). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should comprise assessment documentation for the year in question of similarly situated properties showing the proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables relative to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) finds the appellant did not surmount this burden of proof.

Of the parties’ submissions, board of review comparables #3 and #4 and appellant comparable #4 best resemble the subject property and therefore circumscribe the range of equitable subject assessments.¹ Both board of review comparables had improvements substantially similar to the subject in living square footage, and otherwise differed minimally in aspects such as garage size and bathroom count. With its smaller improvement and garage, appellant comparable #4 anchors the low end of the range of equitable subject improvement assessments, which runs from \$10.30 to \$31.02 per living square foot. Because the subject’s \$22.22 per improvement square foot assessment lands inside this range, PTAB finds the appellant did not provide sufficiently clear and convincing evidence that the subject assessment was inequitable or that a reduction thereof is justified.

¹ PTAB notes inconsistencies between the appellant’s description of the subject and the board of review’s description. After holistically considering all evidence in the record, PTAB concludes the inconsistencies are immaterial to the outcome.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

January 20, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, **YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS.** A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Jose Cruz, by attorney:
Andreas Mamalakis
Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis
4844 89th Place
Kenosha, WI 53142

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602