



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Anna Holowieszko  
DOCKET NO.: 22-43572.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 13-17-229-019-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) are Anna Holowieszko, the appellant, by attorney Andreas Mamalakis, of the Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis in Kenosha; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, PTAB hereby finds **No Change** in the Cook County Board of Review's assessment of the property is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$10,500  
**IMPR.:** \$24,381  
**TOTAL:** \$34,881

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a Cook County Board of Review decision pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 1,890 square feet masonry structure on a 3,750 square feet lot in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County. The 98-year-old home, a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance, featured two bathrooms, a full basement, and a two-car garage. On the petition, the appellant represented that the subject property last sold in July 1990 for \$172,500 and that assessment equity was the basis of the appeal.

Arguing the \$24,381 subject improvement assessment is inequitable, the appellant contends the rate should be lowered to \$9.48 per improvement square foot to be on par with those of similar properties. To show nonuniformity, the appellant placed into evidence five class 2-11 properties within a half mile of the subject with improvement assessments between \$8.05 and \$10.90 per living square foot. The appellant's suggested comparables featured two fireplaces, two or three

bathrooms, and a slab foundation to a full basement. These potential comparators varied from 99 to 102 years in building age and from 1,836 to 2,012 square feet in improvement size.

The board of review countered that the subject improvement assessment of \$24,381, or \$12.90 per living square foot, was equitable in its “Notes on Appeal.” In defense of the \$34,881 total subject assessment, the county board of review nominated four buildings within a quarter mile of the subject as equity comparables. The board of review’s preferred comparators all featured a full basement, a two-car garage, two bathrooms, and no fireplace. These properties were also between 95 and 97 years in building age; between 1,890 and 2,090 square feet in living area; and between \$14.02 and \$15.15 per living square foot in improvement assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The Illinois Constitution requires real estate taxes “be levied uniformly by valuation ascertained as the General Assembly shall provide by law.” Ill. Const., art. IX, § 4 (1970); Walsh v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 181 Ill. 2d 228, 234 (1998). Yet this uniformity provision of the Illinois Constitution does not require absolute equality in taxation; instead, a reasonable degree of uniformity in the taxing authority’s assessments suffices. Peacock v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 339 Ill. App. 3d 1060, 1070 (4th Dist. 2003).

When the ground for appeal is unequal treatment in the assessment, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e); Walsh, 181 Ill. 2d at 234 (1998). Clear and convincing evidence means more than a preponderance of the evidence, but it does not need to approach the degree of proof needed for a criminal conviction. Bazyldo v. Volant, 164 Ill. 2d 207, 213 (1995). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment should consist of assessment documentation for the year in question of similarly situated properties with compelling proximity to, and lack of distinguishing characteristics from, the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) finds the appellant fell short of satisfying this burden of proof.

As the submissions most similar to the subject improvement size, board of review comparable #3 and appellant comparables #2 through #4 constitute the best evidence of assessment equity in this record. Board of review comparable #3 identically matched the subject’s attributes except in building age. Appellant comparables #2 through #4 all included slightly less improvement square footage than the subject, rendering them inferior to the subject property. Based on these comparators, the subject improvement would be equitably assessed from \$9.40 to \$14.02 per living square foot. Because the subject assessment of \$12.90 per improvement square foot lands within this range, PTAB concludes the appellant did not demonstrate inequitable assessment by clear and convincing evidence and a reduction commensurate with the appellant’s request is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

January 20, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Anna Holowieszko, by attorney:  
Andreas Mamalakis  
Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis  
4844 89th Place  
Kenosha, WI 53142

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602