



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Christian Voicu
DOCKET NO.: 22-43452.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 03-20-401-035-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Christian Voicu, the appellant, by Jeremy Rosenfeld, attorney-at-law of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$8,098
IMPR.: \$84,466
TOTAL: \$92,564

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of frame construction containing 3,570 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 7 years old. Features of the property include a full basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace, 2½ bathrooms, and a 3-car garage. The property has a 10,123 square foot site located in Arlington Heights, Wheeling Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends inequity regarding the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables consisting of class 2-78 properties improved with two or more story dwellings of frame construction that range in size from 2,731 to 3,749 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 22 to 53 years old. Each property has a full or partial basement, central air

conditioning, one fireplace, a 2-car or 3-car garage, and 2½, 3 or 3½ bathrooms. These properties have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and are located from .1 of a mile to .7 of a mile from the subject property. Their improvement assessments range from \$55,576 to \$83,282 or from \$20.35 to \$22.21 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$75,470.

The appellant submitted a copy of the final decision issued by the board of review disclosing a total assessment of \$92,564. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$84,466 or \$23.66 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" and information on four equity comparables composed of class 2-78 properties improved with 7-year-old two-story dwellings of frame construction that range in size from 3,120 to 3,790 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a full basement with two having finished area, central air conditioning, 3½ bathrooms, and a 3-car garage. Three comparables each have one fireplace. Comparables #1 and #2 are located in the same block and along the same street as the subject property. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$79,997 to \$89,918 or from \$23.66 to \$25.64 per square foot of living area.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted information on seven equity comparables with the same classification code as the subject property to support their respective positions. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables due to differences from the subject dwelling in size and/or age. The Board gives less weight to board of review comparable #4 due to differences from the subject in dwelling size. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be board of review comparables #1, #2 and #3 that are most similar to the subject in size, age, and/or location. These three board of review comparables are also very similar to the subject in features and have improvement assessments that range from \$83,000 to \$89,918 or from \$23.66 to \$24.38 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$84,466 or \$23.66 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

February 17, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Christian Voicu, by attorney:
Jeremy Rosenfeld
Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC
40 Skokie Blvd
Suite 150
Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602