

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: 3652 North Janssen LLC

DOCKET NO.: 22-40063.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 14-20-121-014-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are 3652 North Janssen LLC, the appellant(s), by attorney Dora Cornelio, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$69,750 **IMPR.:** \$62,750 **TOTAL:** \$132,500

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-185) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 4,650 square foot parcel of land improved with a 126-year-old, two-story, masonry, multi-family dwelling containing 2,694 square feet of building area. The property is located in Chicago, Lakeview Township, Cook County and is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of the equity argument, the appellant submitted five comparables. These comparables are described as multi-family dwellings. They range: in age from 113 to 119 years; in size from 2,502 to 2,848 square feet of building area; and in improvement assessment from \$3.52 to \$9.00 per square foot of building area.

The appellant contends that overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of the market value argument, appellant submitted copies of the settlement statement and the transfer declaration, which disclosed the purchase of the subject on April 12, 2019, for \$1,325,000. The settlement statement included listing commissions to realty companies, and the transfer declaration disclosed that the subject was advertised for sale. The petition discloses that the transfer was not between related parties and that the property was advertised for sale.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal," disclosing the subject's 2022 assessment. The subject's 2022 assessment is \$117,245 with an improvement assessment of \$47,495 or \$27.78 per square foot of building area.

In support of the current argument, the board of review submitted four comparables. These comparables are described as two or three-story, frame or masonry, or frame and masonry, multifamily dwellings. They range: in age from 108 to 142 years; in size from 4,066 to 4,806 square feet of building area; and in improvement assessment from \$27.75 to \$33.13 per square foot of building area.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer also contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b).

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review's comparables #1, #2, #3, and #4. These comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$27.75 to \$33.13 per square foot of building area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$27.78 per square foot of building area is within the range of the best comparables in this record. The remaining comparables were given less weight due to differences in design and/or size. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c).

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property in April 2019 for a price of \$1,325,000. The appellant submitted evidence of the sale of the subject, and the board of review did not show that the sale was not at arm's length. In addition, the settlement statement includes realty commissions, and the transfer declaration attests that the subject was listed on the open market. Based on this record, the Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$1,325,000 as of the lien date. Since market value has been determined,

the level of assessment of 10% for Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance shall apply, and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fen
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinie	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	November 25, 2025
	WillFUL
	Child But The LB

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

3652 North Janssen LLC, by attorney: Dora Cornelio Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. 111 W. Washington St. Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602