



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

AMENDED DECISION

APPELLANT: Eloise Landa
DOCKET NO.: 22-39805.001-R-1 through 22-39805.002-R-1
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Eloise Landa, the appellant(s), by attorney Daniel J. Farley, of the Law Offices of Terrence Kennedy Jr. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
22-39805.001-R-1	17-05-115-046-0000	19,872	0	\$19,872
22-39805.002-R-1	17-05-115-047-0000	19,872	82,776	\$102,648

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of two buildings two separate PIN numbers. One building is an approximately 133-year-old three-story dwelling of masonry construction with 1,380 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a full basement, central air conditioning, and three bathrooms. The second building is a an approximately 116-year-old 2,877 square foot building of masonry construction with a slab foundation and three bathrooms. The buildings are located on a 3,312 square foot site and is located in Chicago, West Chicago Township, Cook County. The subjects are classified as class 2-11 properties under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

Before discussing comparables submitted by the party, the Board would like to clarify the nature of this property, as there is some dispute in the record. The appellant submitted a small brief that the Board found most persuasive in describing the property subject to appeal. In its brief, the appellant clarified that his parcel contains two properties, a 1,380 square foot apartment building (PIN 17-05-115-046-0000) and another 2,877 square foot building (PIN 17-05-115-047-0000). The appellant submitted a set of comparables for each building to be considered. The board of review only submitted comparables for the 2,877 square foot building.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on ten equity comparables. First, the board will discuss the comparables for PIN 17-05-115-046-0000, the 1,380 square foot building. The comparables are located 0.6 to 1.7 miles away from the subject property. The comparables are 120- to 143-year-old two-story class 2-11 residences with masonry construction. The comparables have between 1,520 and 1,863 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments between \$17.90 and \$24.81 per square foot of living area.

Now, the board will discuss the comparables submitted by the appellant for the second building, the 2,877 square foot building with PIN 17-05-115-047-0000. The comparables are located 115 feet to 0.2 miles away from the subject property. The comparables are 107- to 134-year-old two-story class 2-11 residences with masonry construction. The comparables have between 2,640 and 3,300 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments between \$16.27 and \$19.19 per square foot of living area. The appellant is requesting a total assessment for both properties of \$122,520.

The county board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal. The total overall assessment for both properties per the board of review decision letter is \$171,872. In support of its contention of the correct assessment for the 2,877 square foot property, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables. These comparables are located 0.25 miles away from, on the same block as, or within the same "subarea" as the subject property. The comparables are 127- to 135-year-old two-story residences with frame or masonry construction. The comparables have between 1,080 and 4,974 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments between \$28.16 and \$37.14 per square foot. The board of review is requesting that the current assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer asserts assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The Illinois Constitution requires that real estate taxes, "be levied uniformly by valuation ascertained as the General Assembly shall provide by law." Ill. Const. art. IX, §4 (1970); Walsh v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 181 Ill. 2d 228, 234 (1998). This uniformity provision of the Illinois Constitution does not require absolute equality in taxation, however, and it is sufficient if the taxing authority achieves a reasonable degree of uniformity. Peacock v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 339 Ill. App. 3d 1060, 1070 (4th Dist. 2003).

When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e); Walsh, 181 Ill. 2d at 234 (1998). Clear and convincing evidence means more than a preponderance

of the evidence, but it does not need to approach the degree of proof needed for a conviction of a crime. Bazyldo v. Volant, 164 Ill. 2d 207, 213 (1995). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not fewer than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #1, #2, #3, #4 and #5 for the 1,380 square foot building and appellant's comparables #1, #2, #3, #4, and #5 for the 2,772 square foot building. As for comparables that are not best evidence, board of review's comparables for the 2,772 square foot building are all notably larger or smaller than that building. And as stated above, the board of review did not submit comparables for the 1,380 square foot building. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$17.80 to \$33.43 per square foot of living area for the 1,380 square foot building and between \$16.27 and \$19.19 for the 2,772 square foot building. The subject's improvement assessment for each building is above the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

March 17, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Eloise Landa, by attorney:
Daniel J. Farley
Law Offices of Terrence Kennedy Jr.
180 North LaSalle Street
Suite 2650
Chicago, IL 60601

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602