



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Ashok Shah
DOCKET NO.: 22-37826.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 09-15-110-033-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Ashok Shah, the appellant(s); and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$3,500
IMPR.: \$20,499
TOTAL: \$23,999

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 60-year-old, two-story, single-family dwelling of masonry construction with 1,151 square feet of living area. Features of the home include an unfinished full basement. The property has a 1,930 square foot site and is located in Des Plaines, Maine Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a Class 2-95 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on six Class 2-95 comparables with varying degrees of similarities to the subject. The appellant submitted four comparables within his assessment grid analysis and referenced two additional comparable properties (#5 and #6) in a separate letter. The information provided about comparables #5 and #6¹ consisted of the PIN, the “cost per square foot,” the address, the square feet, and the “total AV,” but did not include

¹ For the purposes of this appeal, comparable #5 is PIN -009 and comparable #6 is PIN -070.

characteristics found in the assessment grid such as age of the property, distance to the subject property, and building features. The first four suggested comparable properties were located within 0.3-miles of the subject property. The comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$14.33 to \$15.32 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$20,407.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$24,000. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$20,500 or \$17.81 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on twenty-four Class 2-95 suggested equity comparables with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. Eighteen of the comparable properties were located within a block of the subject property and six other comparable properties were located within the same subarea as the subject property². The comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$17.81 to \$18.55 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the assessment be confirmed.

This matter proceeded to hearing on February 14, 2025, via the WebEx platform. Participating in the hearing were the appellant, pro se, and Rachel Dickerson (Dickerson), representative for the Cook County Board of Review. The appellant and Dickerson were sworn in as witnesses.

Both sides waived opening statement.

The appellant presented his case-in-chief by discussing his suggested comparable properties that were previously submitted into evidence. Notably, the appellant testified that his comparable #5 (PIN -009) was identical to the subject property in amenities.

The board of review waived cross-examination and rested her case-in-chief on the evidence previously submitted.

The appellant presented closing argument arguing why his respective suggested comparable properties were superior and requested a reduction in assessed value. The board of review waived closing argument.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proven by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof, and that a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

² The Board takes official notice that the board of review's comparable #18 (9400 Potter Rd., Des Plaines, IL, PIN - 034) is owned by the appellant and is the subject of PTAB appeal docket number 2022-37827. Since the assessment of this property is being appeal, the Board will not include this property in its evaluation of the present case.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #1, #2, #3, #4, and #5 and the board of review's comparables #1 through #17. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$14.33 to \$17.81 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$17.81 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering the arguments and all the comparables submitted by the parties with emphasis on those properties that are more proximate in location, more similar in size, and with similar features relative to the subject and after further considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is supported. Insufficient details within the assessment grid or through testimony were provided as to the appellant's comparables #6 to determine if this property was sufficiently comparable to be included in the best evidence. The Board finds that the appellant failed to demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and, therefore, a reduction in the subject's assessment commensurate with the appellant's request is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 17, 2025



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Ashok Shah
9247 Fern Lane
Des Plaines, IL 60016

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602