



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Warren TB, Inc.  
DOCKET NO.: 22-37595.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 17-07-324-032-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Warren TB, Inc., the appellant(s), by attorney Jennifer Kanik, of the Law Offices of Terrence Kennedy Jr. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$15,000  
**IMPR.:** \$15,000  
**TOTAL:** \$30,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of an approximately 132-year-old two-story dwelling of masonry construction with 2,440 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a full basement, and two bathrooms. The property has a 3,000 square foot site and is located in Chicago, West Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. Per submitted evidence, there was a permanent injunction placed on the property by the Municipal Court of Chicago in 2020. A permit application was submitted in March 2021 and granted in June 2021. The appellant submitted pictures dated November 2022 that showed the property was under construction and a contractor affidavit stating the property was vacant throughout 2022.

The appellant asserts overvaluation in this appeal. In support of the overvaluation argument, the appellant submitted evidence disclosing that the subject property was sold on May 6, 2021, for a

price of \$300,000, or \$122.95 per square foot of living area. The evidence included the master statement and deed from the transaction. The appellant also submitted a brief stating the property was uninhabitable during 2021 and the value of the improvement should be zero and only the land should be valued based on an occupancy factor of zero. The appellant is requesting a total assessment of \$15,000, stating that the improvement should be valued at zero.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject as \$38,250. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$382,500, land included, when using the Cook County Real Estate Classification Ordinance level of assessment for class 2 property of 10%. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$23,250 or \$9.53 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information about three suggested comparable sales. Comparable #1 is located 0.25 miles away from the subject property. The board of review did not specify the proximity of comparables #2, #3, and #4 to the subject property. These properties are 97- to 133-year-old two-story residences with masonry or frame construction. These properties sold between June 2021 and January 2022 for sale prices between \$400,000 and \$415,000. The properties have sale prices per square foot between \$145.38 and \$192.86. The board of review is requesting that the current assessment be confirmed.

### **Conclusion of Law**

When market value is a basis of the appeal, the taxpayer must prove the value of the property by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e); Winnebago County Bd. of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Bd., 313 Ill. App. 3d 1038, 1043 (2d Dist. 2000). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment on this basis is warranted.

The appellant presented evidence that the subject property was sold on May 6, 2021, for a price of \$300,000. The appellant filled out Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the PTAB residential appeal form and disclosed that the parties to the transaction were not related. The appellant also disclosed that the sale was not due to a foreclosure action. The appellant submitted a copy the settlement statement. This evidence indicates that this sale was an arm's-length transaction. The board of review's evidence was insufficient to overcome the best evidence of the recent sale of the property, as the board of review did not provide evidence to rebut the appellant's supported assertion that the sale was an arm's length transaction.

The Board's task in this case is to determine the correct assessment of the subject property. *See* 35 ILCS 200/16-180. Under Illinois law, real property must be valued at its fair cash value, meaning the price that would be paid for it at a fair, voluntary sale where the buyer and seller are both ready, willing, and able to buy and sell, but neither is compelled to do so. Bd of Educ of Meridian Community School Dist. No. 223 v. Ill. Property Tax Appeal Bd., 2011 IL App (2d) 100068, ¶ 36. A contemporaneous sale of the subject property between parties dealing at arms-length is practically conclusive on the issue of whether an assessment reflected the fair cash market value of the property. Gateway-Walden LLC v. Pappas, 2018 IL App (1<sup>st</sup>) 162714, ¶ 33.

The Board finds that the best evidence of the subject's market value is the May 13, 2021, sale of the subject for \$300,000. Turning to the appellant's contention of law vacancy argument, the Board finds no further reduction is warranted. *Long Grove Manor v. Property Tax Appeal Board*, 301 Ill.App.3d 654 (1998) held that an improvement still has value even when it is under construction. The evidence of the appellant valuing the condemned property and land at \$300,000 is the best evidence above all else that the appellant valued both the land and any other improvement, or the site itself was worth that much. The appellant also failed to provide significant evidence or pictures of the status of the property in 2021 to rebut the presumption that the assessed value of the property should be anything other than the purchase price of the property. In the end, a reduction in the subject's assessment commensurate with that sale price is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

February 17, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Warren TB, Inc., by attorney:  
Jennifer Kanik  
Law Offices of Terrence Kennedy Jr.  
180 North LaSalle Street  
Suite #2650  
Chicago, IL 60601

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602