



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Barry Lymperopoulos
DOCKET NO.: 22-37379.001-R-1 through 22-37379.002-R-1
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Barry Lymperopoulos, the appellant(s), by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
22-37379.001-R-1	09-27-132-014-0000	4,577	14,828	\$19,405
22-37379.002-R-1	09-27-132-015-0000	4,063	14,827	\$18,890

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of two Property Index Numbers (PINs) and is improved with a split-level, single-family residence of masonry construction. The dwelling contains approximately 1,335 square feet of living area and is estimated to be 62 years old. Notable features include a partial basement with a finished recreation room, a fireplace, and an attached two-car garage. The appellant reports that the property is owner-occupied. The site comprises approximately 3,521 square feet located in Park Ridge, within Maine Township, Cook County, Illinois. In accordance with the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance, the property is classified as a Class 2-34 residential property.

The appellant contends that the subject property is overvalued. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted data for four comparable sales, each exhibiting varying degrees of similarity

to the subject property. According to the appellant, all selected comparable properties are located within the same neighborhood code and are situated approximately 147.6 feet to 1.40 miles from the subject.

The comparable properties are described as Class 2-34 single-family split level residences of masonry construction, containing between 1,335 and 1,510 square feet of living area. These properties sold between 2019 and 2021 for prices ranging from \$350,000 to \$430,000, or approximately \$241.88 to \$284.77 per square foot of living area, including land.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requests that the subject property's assessment be reduced to \$36,321.

The Board of Review submitted its Notes on Appeal indicating an assessed value for PIN 09-27-132-014-0000. The total assessed value is \$38,295 for the subject property, inclusive of both PINs. This assessed value reflects an estimated market value of \$382,950, or \$286.85 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the 10 percent level of assessment applicable to Class 2 property.

In support of the assessment, the Board of Review presented sales data for four comparable properties demonstrating varying degrees of similarity to the subject. All comparable properties are located within the same neighborhood code and subarea as the subject, with two situated within approximately one-quarter mile. The comparables consist of multi-level, Class 2-34 single-family residences of masonry construction, ranging in age from 60 to 61 years and containing between 1,272 and 1,280 square feet of living area. These properties sold between 2020 and 2022 at prices ranging from \$250.00 to \$395.17 per square foot of living area, including land.

The Board of Review contends that these comparable sales support the conclusion that the subject's current assessment is equitable and within the range established by similarly situated properties. Accordingly, the Board requests confirmation of the subject's existing assessment.

Conclusions of Law

The taxpayer asserts that the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal, the taxpayer must prove the value of the property by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e); Winnebago County Bd. of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Bd., 313 Ill. App. 3d 1038, 1043 (2d Dist. 2000). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment on this basis is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eight Class 2-34 comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board reviewed all comparable properties of record and accorded the greatest weight to

those most proximate to the subject property and most similar in size, age, and relevant physical characteristics.

The Board finds that the most persuasive evidence of the subject property's market value consists of the appellants' Comparable Nos. 1 and 3, together with Comparable Nos. 1 and 2 submitted by the Board of Review. These properties, like the subject, are multi-level, Class 2-34 single-family masonry dwellings featuring amenities and living area sizes similar to the subject. All are located within the same neighborhood code and in close proximity to the subject property.

These comparable properties sold between 2020 and 2022 at prices ranging from \$284.77 to \$395.17 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's current assessment reflects an implied market value of \$382,950, or \$286.85 per square foot, which falls within the range established by the most comparable properties.

Based on the totality of the evidence in the record, and after considering appropriate adjustments for differences between the subject property and the most comparable sales, the Board concludes that the appellant has not established, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the subject property is overvalued. Accordingly, no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 16, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois

Property Tax Appeal Board

William G. Stratton Building, Room 402

401 South Spring Street

Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Barry Lympelopoulos, by attorney:

George N. Reveliotis

Reveliotis Law, P.C.

1030 Higgins Road

Suite 101

Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review

Docket No: 22-37379.001-R-1 through 22-37379.002-R-1

County Building, Room 601

118 North Clark Street

Chicago, IL 60602