



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Lisa Longiro
DOCKET NO.: 22-37374.001-R-1 through 22-37374.002-R-1
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Lisa Longiro, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **no change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
22-37374.001-R-1	12-26-323-015-0000	10,553	15,446	\$25,999
22-37374.002-R-1	12-26-323-043-0000	879	0	\$879

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of two parcels improved with a 1-story dwelling of masonry construction with 1,034 square feet of living area that is approximately 72 years old. The dwelling features 1½ baths, a full basement finished with a recreation room, 2 fireplaces, and a 1-car garage.¹ The property has a combined 10,050 square foot site and is located in River Grove, Leyden Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property² under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

¹ There being a slight discrepancy between the parties with respect to the description of some of the subject's characteristics, the Board will adopt the board of review's description which was not contested by the appellant via a rebuttal filing.

² One-story residence, any age, with 1,000 to 1,800 square feet of living area.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from 4,585 to 8,625 square feet of land area. The sites are improved with 1-story, class 2-03 dwellings of masonry construction. The dwellings range in size from 1,034 to 1,560 square feet of living area and range in age from 24 to 69 years old. Each comparable has a full basement finished with a recreation room; two comparables have central air conditioning; three dwellings have one or two fireplaces; and three comparables have a 1-car, a 1.5-car, or a 2-car garage. The comparables sold from January 2019 to January 2022 for prices ranging from \$180,000 to \$245,000 or from \$147.56 to \$179.17 per square foot of living area, including land. Appellant's counsel also submitted copies of the deeds for each comparable sale and a brief requesting a reduction to the subject's total assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal." The appellant submitted a copy of the final decision of the Cook County Board of Review disclosing the total combined assessment for both subject parcels of \$26,878. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$268,780 or \$259.94 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the 10% level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted a grid analysis with information on four comparable sales located within the same subarea or within ¼ of a mile of the subject and within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with 1-story or 1.5-story, class 2-03 dwellings of masonry construction ranging in size from 1,015 to 1,236 square feet of living area. The comparables have sites ranging from 3,750 to 5,625 square feet of land area and range in age from 61 to 97 years old. Each comparable has either 1 or 1½ baths and a full basement, two of which are finished with a recreation room. Three comparables have a 2-car or a 2.5-car garage. The comparables sold from September 2019 to October 2021 for prices ranging from \$185,000 to \$380,000 or from \$174.86 to \$307.44 per square foot of living area, land included.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of eight comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to appellant's comparable sales #1, #2, and #3, along with board of review comparable #1 based on these sales occurring in 2019, less proximate in time to the January 1, 2022 assessment date at issue and, thus, less likely to accurately reflect the subjects fair market value than the remaining comparables that sold more proximate to the lien date. Additionally, appellant's comparables #1 and #2 are significantly newer in age, and comparables #1 and #3 are

significantly larger in dwelling size relative to the subject dwelling, while board of review comparable #1 lacks a garage which is a feature of the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be appellant's comparable #4 and board of review comparables #2, #3, and #4. These comparables sold more proximate in time to the subject's assessment date at issue and are most similar overall to the subject in location, dwelling size, age, and some amenities. However, each of these comparables has a smaller lot size relative to the subjects two lots combined, they each lack a fireplace which is a feature of the subject. Furthermore, board of review comparable #3 lacks a finished basement, unlike the subject. These difference necessitate adjustments to the comparables in order to make them more equivalent to the subject.

The best comparables in the record sold from July 2021 to January 2022 for prices ranging from \$215,000 to \$380,000 or from \$179.17 to \$307.44 per square foot of living area, land included. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$268,780 or \$259.94 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls within the range established by the best comparable sales in the record both in terms of overall market value and on a per square foot of living area basis. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the subject is not overvalued and, therefore, a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

August 19, 2025



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Lisa Longiro, by attorney:
George N. Reveliotis
Reveliotis Law, P.C.
1030 Higgins Road
Suite 101
Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602