



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Angela Plesa
DOCKET NO.: 22-36853.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 13-16-230-028-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Angela Plesa, the appellant, by Robert Rosenfeld, attorney-at-law of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$8,120
IMPR.: \$16,340
TOTAL: \$24,460

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a one-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction that contains 1,120 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 43 years old. Features of the property include a full unfinished basement, one bathroom, and a 2-car garage. The property has a 2,900 square foot site located in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends inequity regarding the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables composed of class 2-03 properties improved with one-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction that range in size from 1,020 to 1,201 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 54 to 106 years old. Each property has a full or partial basement, one

bathroom, and either a 1-car or 2-car garage. These properties have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located from 56 feet to .8 of a mile from the subject. Comparables #1 and #3 are located along the same street and within the same block as the subject property. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$14,880 to \$17,150 or from \$13.78 to \$14.93 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$16,083.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$25,592. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$17,472 or \$15.60 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables consisting of class 2-03 properties improved with one-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction that range in size from 1,040 to 1,344 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 31 to 49 years old. Each property has a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, 1 or 1½ bathrooms, and a 2-car garage. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and are located approximately ¼ of a mile from the subject property. Their improvement assessments are \$21,530 and 24,500 or from \$18.23 to \$20.70 per square foot of living area.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted information on seven equity comparables with the same classification code and neighborhood code as the subject property to support their respective positions. The Board gives less weight to appellant's comparables #2 and #4 due to differences from the subject dwelling in age being approximately 63 and 28 years older than the subject property, respectively. The Board gives less weight to the board of review comparables as each property has central air conditioning, a feature the subject does not have, as well as the fact that board of review comparable #3 differs from the subject dwelling in size being approximately 20% larger than the subject home. The Board gives most weight to appellant's comparables #1 and #3 that contain 1,080 and 1,020 square feet of living area and are 54 and 57 years old, respectively. Additionally, appellant's comparables #1 and #3 are most similar to the subject in location being along the same street and within the same block as the subject property. Appellant's comparable #3 has a smaller garage than the subject suggesting an upward adjustment to this comparable would be appropriate to make the property more equivalent to the subject property for this difference. These two comparables have improvement assessments \$14,880 or \$13.78 and \$14.59 per square foot of living area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$17,472 or \$15.60 per square foot of living area falls above two the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant demonstrated with clear and

convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

August 19, 2025



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Angela Plesa, by attorney:
Robert Rosenfeld
Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC
40 Skokie Blvd
Suite 150
Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602