



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Tony Abbinanti
DOCKET NO.: 22-36729.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 09-27-421-055-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Tony Abbinanti, the appellant(s), by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$8,645
IMPR.: \$43,793
TOTAL: \$52,438

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of an approximately 55-year-old two-story, single-family dwelling of frame and masonry construction with 2,223 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a partial unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a two-car garage. The property has a 6,550 square foot site located in Park Ridge, Maine Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a Class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four sales comparable properties with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The appellant reported that the suggested comparable properties were located either within the same block as the subject or within a 0.4 and a 1.7-mile radius of the subject. All the suggested comparable properties had the same neighborhood code as the subject.

The comparable properties are described as single-family dwellings of either frame or frame and masonry construction. They ranged in age from 54 to 59 years and in size from 2,176 to 2,513 square feet of living area. They sold from August 2020 to June 2021 for prices ranging from \$189.57 to \$234.27 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the subject's total assessment of \$52,438 which reflects a market value of \$524,380 or \$235.89 per square foot of building area using the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance level of assessment for class 2 property of 10%.

In support of the assessment the board of review submitted four sales comparable properties. The comparable properties are described as two-story, single-family dwellings of either frame or frame and masonry construction. They range in age from 3 to 61, in size from 2,087 to 2,282 square feet of living area and are located within the same subarea as the subject with two of the comparable properties located within a ¼-mile radius of the subject. The board of review disclosed that one of the suggested comparable properties had a different neighborhood code as the subject. They sold from October 2020 to August 2022 for prices ranging from \$285.10 to \$416.86 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence the board of review requested that the assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer asserted that the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal, the taxpayer must prove the value of the property by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e); *Winnebago County Bd. of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Bd.*, 313 Ill. App. 3d 1038, 1043 (2d Dist. 2000). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant has not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment on this basis is not warranted.

The Board finds the best and only evidence of market value to be the appellant's comparable properties #2 through #3 and the board of review's comparable property #2. These comparable properties had sale prices ranging from \$210.11 to \$416.86 per square foot of building area, land included. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$235.89 per square foot of living area, including land, which is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparable properties for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is supported. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that the subject was overvalued and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

September 16, 2025



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Tony Abbinanti, by attorney:
George N. Reveliotis
Reveliotis Law, P.C.
1030 Higgins Road
Suite 101
Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602