



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Joseph Hathaway
DOCKET NO.: 22-35350.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 17-06-303-004-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Joseph Hathaway, the appellant, by attorney Kyle Gordon Kamego, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$20,328
IMPR.: \$60,620
TOTAL: \$80,948

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a three-story mixed use commercial and residential building of masonry exterior construction with 6,408 square feet of gross building area and which is approximately 121 years old. Features include a partial unfinished basement, 3½ bathrooms, central air conditioning, an a 3.5-car garage. The property has a 2,904 square foot site and is located in Chicago, West Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-12 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity concerning the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject and within .6 of a mile from the subject. The comparables consist of class 2-12 three-story buildings of masonry exterior construction which range in age from 105 to 141 years old. The buildings range in size from

5,046 to 6,402 square feet of gross building area. Each building has a partial basement and 2½ or 3½ bathrooms. Each comparable has central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$48,971 to \$58,672 or from \$9.10 to \$9.90 per square foot of gross building area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced improvement assessment of \$60,620 or \$9.46 per square foot of gross building area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$94,071. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$73,743 or \$11.51 per square foot of gross building area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four properties which are located in the same neighborhood code as the subject and within ¼ of a mile from the subject. The comparables consist of class 2-11 three-story buildings of masonry exterior construction which are 107 to 110 years old. The buildings range in size from 5,343 to 6,042 square feet of gross building area. Each comparable has a full unfinished basement and 6 full bathrooms. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$72,349 to \$83,319 or from \$13.47 to \$13.79 per square foot of gross building area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eight suggested equity comparables in support of their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to the appellant's comparables #3 and #4 along with board of review comparable #4, due to substantial differences in building size when compared to the subject. The Board has given reduced weight to board of review comparables #1, #2 and #3, each of which is a class 2-11 residential building, as compared to the subject which is a class 2-12, mixed-use commercial and residential building.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #1 and #2, each of which are similar to the subject in location, story height, building size, and some features. Each of these comparables need adjustment for inferior bathroom count, differences in age and lack of a garage amenity when compared to the subject. These comparables have improvement assessments of \$54,166 and \$58,672 or \$9.10 and \$9.16 per square foot of gross building area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$73,743 or \$11.51 per square foot of gross building area falls above the best comparables in this record both in terms of overall improvement assessment and on a per-square-foot of building area basis. While the subject has a superior bathroom count and a garage amenity, which differ from the best comparables, a

reduction in the subject's assessment commensurate with the appellant's request is warranted on this record.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

June 17, 2025



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Joseph Hathaway, by attorney:
Kyle Gordon Kamego
Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC
40 Skokie Blvd
Suite 150
Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602