

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: John McGuire

DOCKET NO.: 22-35191.001-R-1

PARCEL NO.: 12-26-307-029-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are John McGuire, the appellant, by Brian S. Maher, attorney-at-law of Weis, DuBrock, Doody & Maher in Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$4,593 **IMPR.:** \$30,989 **TOTAL:** \$35,582

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property is improved with two-story multi-family buildings of masonry exterior construction containing 2,559 square feet of building area. The building is approximately 67 years old. Features of the property include a full basement with an apartment, and three bathrooms. The property has a 4,375 square foot site located in River Grove, Leyden Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity regarding the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables composed of class 2-11 properties improved with 1.5-story or 2-story multi-family buildings of frame or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 2,031 to 2,611 square feet of building area. The buildings range in age from 69 to 94 years old. Two comparables have

a full or partial basement and one comparable has a crawl space foundation. Each property has central air conditioning and 2, 2.5 or 3 bathrooms. Two comparables have a 2-car garage. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. These properties have improvement assessments that range from \$18,338 to \$24,406 or from \$9.03 to \$9.35 per square foot of building area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$24,490.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$35,582. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$30,989 or \$12.11 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables composed of class 2-11 properties that are improved with 2-story multi-family buildings of masonry exterior construction that range in size from 2,597 to 4,200 square feet of building area. The buildings range in age from 53 to 56 years old. Each property has a full basement finished with either a formal recreation room or an apartment. The comparables have three or six bathrooms and one comparable has a 2.5-car garage. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located in the subarea. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$35,986 to \$53,200 or from \$12.58 to \$13.86 per square foot of building area. The board of review contends the building assessed value per square foot for the comparables are the same or higher than the subject, which supports the assessed value as equitable.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted information on six equity comparables with the same classification code and neighborhood code as the subject property to support their respective positions. The Board gives less weight to appellant's comparable #1 due to differences from the subject in exterior construction, age, and size. The Board gives less weight to appellant's comparable #2 due to differences from the subject in exterior construction, foundation, and size. The Board gives less weight to board of review comparables #2 and #3 due to differences from the subject building in size. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparable #3 and board of review comparables #1 that are improved with a 1.5-story or 2-story multi-family building of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction with either 2,611 or 2,597 square feet of building area. The comparables have varying degrees of similarity to the subject in features that would require adjustments to make them more equivalent to the subject. These two comparables have improvement assessments of \$24,406 and \$35,986 or \$9.35 and \$13.86 per square foot of building area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$30,989 or \$12.11 per square foot of building area is bracketed by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing

evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

Member

Member

Member

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: August 19, 2025

Will Date

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

John McGuire, by attorney: Brian S. Maher Weis, DuBrock, Doody & Maher 1 North LaSalle Street Suite 1500 Chicago, IL 60602

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602