

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Colleen Currigan
DOCKET NO.: 22-34122.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 13-24-130-014-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Colleen Currigan, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, attorney-at-law of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$18,753 **IMPR.:** \$35,000 **TOTAL:** \$53,753

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 1,996 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 98 years old. Features of the property include a full basement with a formal recreation room, two bathrooms, and a 2-car garage. The property has a 3,750 square foot site located in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-05 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends inequity regarding the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables composed of class 2-05 properties improved with two-story dwellings of frame or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 1,672 to 2,121 square feet of living area and in age from 101 to 119 years old. Each comparable has a full basement, two

bathrooms, and a 1½-car or 2-car garage. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located from .2 to .4 of a mile from the subject. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$25,375 to \$36,500 or from \$15.18 to \$17.25 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$32,495.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$62,003. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$43,250 or \$21.67 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables composed of class 2-05 or class 2-06 properties improved with two-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction that range in size from 2,007 to 2,270 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 96 to 112 years old. Each comparable has a full or partial basement with three having finished area, central air conditioning, and 2 to 3½ bathrooms. One comparable has one fireplace and three comparables have a two-car garage. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and are located approximately ¼ of a mile from the subject property. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$49,210 to \$53,375 or from \$22.19 to \$26.59 per square foot of living area. The board of review contends the building assessed value per square foot for the comparables are the same or higher than the subject, which supports the assessed value as equitable.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted information on eight assessment equity comparables to support their respective positions. The Board gives less weight to appellant's comparables #1 and #2 due to differences from the subject dwelling in size. The Board gives less weight to the comparables submitted by the board of review as each property has central air conditioning, an amenity the subject does not have; three comparables have ½ to ½ more bathrooms than the subject; and one comparable has a fireplace unlike the subject property. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #3 and #4 which are relatively similar to the subject in size and features. These two comparables have improvement assessments of \$34,875 and \$36,500 or \$16.44 and \$17.25 per square foot of living area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$43,250 or \$21.67 per square foot of living area falls above the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant demonstrated with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

said office.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

| Chairman | 1 |
|--|--|
| C. R. | Solot Stoffen |
| Member | Member |
| Dan Dikini | |
| Member | Member |
| DISSENTING:CERTIFICATI | O N |
| | |
| As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and comple Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above the complete that the complete the complete that the complete tha | ete Final Administrative Decision of the |

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

July 15, 2025

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Date:

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Colleen Currigan, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 40 Skokie Blvd Suite 150 Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602