FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Christopher Masonick
DOCKET NO.: 22-33829.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 12-25-419-035-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Christopher Masonick, the appellant, by attorney Brian P. Liston, of the Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C., in Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds ***No Change*** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	$4,218
IMPR.:	$28,781
TOTAL:	$32,999

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story two-unit building of masonry exterior construction with 2,068 square feet of gross building area and which is approximately 107 years old. Features include a full basement, 2 full bathrooms, and a one-car garage. The property has a 3,125 square foot site and is located in Elmwood Park, Leyden Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity concerning the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject and .6 of a mile from the subject. The comparables consist of class 2-11 1.5-story and 2-story two-unit buildings of masonry exterior construction that range in age from 73 to 94 years old. The buildings range in

size from 1,747 to 2,156 square feet of gross building area. Each comparable has a full basement, 2 or 2½ bathrooms and a two-car garage. Two comparables each have central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from $21,263 to $25,376 or from $11.77 to $12.75 per square foot of gross building area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced improvement assessment of $25,168 or $12.17 per square foot of gross building area representing the "median" of the comparables presented according to the appellant.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal." The appellant submitted a copy of the final decision disclosing the total assessment for the subject of $32,999. The subject property has an improvement assessment of $28,781 or $13.92 per square foot of gross building area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information four equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject and the same block or ¼ of a mile from the subject. The comparables consist of class 2-11 1.5-story and 2-story buildings of frame or frame and masonry exterior construction which are 95 to 107 years old. The buildings range in size from 1,422 to 2,387 square feet of gross building area. Each comparable has a full basement and 2 or 3 full bathrooms. Comparable #4 has air conditioning and three comparables have either a two-car or a four-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from $23,563 to $35,781 or from $14.46 to $18.05 per square foot of gross building area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of seven equity comparables to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to the appellant's comparables #2 and #3 along with board of review comparables #1, #2 and #4, due to the differences in building size and/or air conditioning feature when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity consists of appellant's comparable #1 and board of review comparable #3, which are similar to the subject in location, design, building size and some features. Adjustments to these comparables for differences in age, bathroom count and/or garage capacity are necessary to make the comparables more equivalent to the subject. The comparables have improvement assessments of $25,376 and $34,781 or of $11.77 and $15.97 per square foot of gross building area. The subject has an improvement assessment of $28,781 or $13.92 per square foot of gross building area, which is bracketed by the best

comparables in the record both in terms of overall improvement assessment and on a per-square-foot of building area basis.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the taxation burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill. 2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence.

Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables in the record for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: August 19, 2025

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

CHRISTOPHER MASONICK, by attorney:
Brian P. Liston
Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C.
200 S. Wacker Drive
Suite 820
Chicago, IL 60606

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602