



## FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Paul Scarpelli  
DOCKET NO.: 22-31799.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 02-19-230-014-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Paul Scarpelli, the appellant by Andreas Mamalakis, attorney-at-law of the Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis in Kenosha, Wisconsin, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$4,536  
**IMPR.:** \$27,478  
**TOTAL:** \$32,014

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.<sup>1</sup>

### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of frame exterior construction containing 1,803 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 36 years old. Features of the home include a partial unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace, 2½ bathrooms, and a 2-car garage. The property has a 5,040 square foot site located in Hoffman Estates, Palatine Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-07 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends inequity regarding the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables composed of class 2-07 properties improved with two-story dwellings of frame

---

<sup>1</sup> The appellant's counsel originally requested a hearing before the Property Tax Appeal Board but subsequently withdrew the request for a hearing.

exterior construction that range in size from 1,919 to 1,999 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 45 or 46 years old. Three comparables have partial basements and two comparables have crawl space foundations. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a 2-car garage. The comparables have 1½ or 2½ bathrooms. These properties have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and are located from .59 to .78 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$19,578 to \$25,083 or from \$10.20 to \$12.55 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$20,680.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$32,014. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$27,478 or \$15.24 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables composed of class 2-07 properties improved with two-story dwellings of frame exterior construction that range in size from 1,740 to 1,852 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 34 to 39 years old. Three comparables have partial unfinished basements and one comparable has a slab foundation. Three comparables have central air conditioning and three comparables each have one fireplace. The comparables also have 1½ or 2½ bathrooms. These properties have the same neighborhood code as the subject and are located in the same assessment block as the subject property. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$28,464 to \$30,701 or from \$15.37 to \$17.03 per square foot of living area.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted information on nine equity comparables with the same classification code and neighborhood code as the subject property to support their respective positions. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review comparables #1, #2 and #3 that are more similar to the subject in location, age, size and features than the remaining comparables submitted by the parties. These three comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$28,464 to \$30,701 or from \$16.34 to \$17.03 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$27,478 or \$15.24 per square foot of living area falls below the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

January 20, 2026



\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

**PARTIES OF RECORD**

**AGENCY**

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

**APPELLANT**

Paul Scarpelli, by attorney:  
Andreas Mamalakis  
Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis  
4844 89th Place  
Kenosha, WI 53142

**COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602