



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Artemio Montano  
DOCKET NO.: 22-31400.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 16-26-121-014-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Artemio Montano, the appellant, by attorney Andreas Mamalakis, of the Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis in Kenosha; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$4,700  
**IMPR.:** \$16,300  
**TOTAL:** \$21,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story multi-family building of masonry exterior construction with 1,872 square feet of building area. The building is approximately 109 years old. Features include a slab foundation. The property has a 4,000 square foot site and is located in Chicago, West Chiago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity regarding the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and within 0.71 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with 1.5-story or 2-story, class 2-11 multi-family buildings ranging in size from 2,016 to 2,150 square feet of building area. The buildings are from 124 to 129 years old. Three comparables have a slab foundation, one

comparable has a basement, and one comparable has a crawl space foundation. Three comparables have a 1-car or a 1.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$4,328 to \$4,539 or from \$2.01 to \$2.22 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$3,987.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$21,000. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$16,300 or \$8.71 per square foot of building area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on two equity comparables<sup>1</sup> located within the same assessment neighborhood code and on the same block or 0.25 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story, class 2-11 multi-family buildings with 1,584 or 1,744 square feet of building area. The buildings are 108 or 118 years old. One comparable has a slab foundation and one comparable has a basement. Each comparable has a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments of \$16,328 and \$18,300 or \$10.31 and \$10.49 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains seven equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #1 and #2 and the board of review's comparable #1, due to substantial differences from the subject in building size and/or foundation type. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #3, #4, and #5 and the board of review's comparable #2, which are more similar to the subject in building size, location, and some features, although three comparables are much older buildings than the subject and two comparables each have a garage unlike the subject, suggesting adjustments to these comparables would be needed to make them more equivalent to the subject. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$4,328 to \$18,300 or from \$2.15 to \$10.49 per square foot of building area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$16,300 or \$8.71 per square foot of building area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

---

<sup>1</sup> Comparable #3 is the same property as comparable #1.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 16, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Artemio Montano, by attorney:  
Andreas Mamalakis  
Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis  
4844 89th Place  
Kenosha, WI 53142

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602