



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Yoon Auh
DOCKET NO.: 22-26923.001-R-1 through 22-26923.002-R-1
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Yoon Auh, the appellant, by attorney Kyle Gordon Kamego, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
22-26923.001-R-1	05-06-308-048-0000	42,678	133,322	\$176,000
22-26923.002-R-1	05-06-308-055-0000	3,960	0	\$3,960

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The property consists of two parcels, one of which is improved with a 2-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 4,982 square feet of living area. The home is approximately 134 years old. Features include a partial basement, central air conditioning, four full bathrooms, one fireplace, and a 3.5-car garage. The property has a combined 25,910 square foot site and is located in Glencoe, New Trier Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-06 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood. The comparables are improved with 2-story, class 2-06 dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 3,995 to 4,298 square feet of living area. The homes range in

age from 70 to 100 years old. Three comparables each have a full or partial basement and one comparable has a slab foundation. Each comparable has central air conditioning, two or three full bathrooms, one or two half bathrooms, from one to three fireplaces, and a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$94,420 to \$103,860 or from \$23.63 to \$24.60 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the improvement assessment be reduced to \$118,970.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" for one parcel under appeal. The appellant submitted the board of review final decision disclosing the total assessment for the subject's two parcels of \$179,960. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$133,322 or \$26.76 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood. Board of review comparable #2 is the same property as the appellant's comparable #2. The comparables are improved with 2-story, class 2-06 dwellings of frame, masonry, or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 3,556 to 4,298 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 70 to 97 years old. Two comparables each have a full basement and one comparable has a slab foundation. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and a 2-car or a 2.5-car garage. Comparable #2 was reported to have other improvements, but additional details were not disclosed. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$81,480 to \$113,260 or from \$20.68 to \$31.85 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted six suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration, with one comparable common to both parties. The Board finds none of the parties' comparables are truly similar to the subject due to significant differences from the subject in age, dwelling size, bathroom count, garage capacity, foundation type, and/or other features. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$81,480 to \$113,260 or from \$20.68 to \$31.85 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$133,322 or \$26.76 per square foot of living area falls above the range established by the comparables in this record on an overall improvement assessment basis but within the range on a per square foot basis. However, after considering adjustments to the comparables for differences from the subject, as referenced above, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

July 21, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Yoon Auh, by attorney:
Kyle Gordon Kamego
Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC
40 Skokie Blvd
Suite 150
Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602