

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: 811 Foster, LLC
DOCKET NO.: 22-26908.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 11-18-104-031-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are 811 Foster, LLC, the appellant, by attorney Steven Kandelman, of Rieff Schramm Kanter & Guttman in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$14,125 **IMPR.:** \$80,875 **TOTAL:** \$95,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160), challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 126-year-old, two-story building of masonry construction with 5,202 square feet of living area. The property has a 5650-square-foot site and is located in Evanston, Evanston Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant asserts overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating that the subject property had a market value of \$950,000 as of January 1, 2022. The appraiser undertook the sales comparison and income approaches in valuing the property. The appraiser inspected the property on August 31, 2022, and found its highest and best use as improved to be its current improvement.

Under the sales approach, the appraiser relied on six suggested sales comparables that sold between September 2019 and May 2022, for amounts ranging from \$150,000 to \$1,376,375, or between \$131.08 and \$207.24 per square foot of building area, land included in the sale prices. The appraiser adjusted the sales prices to account for differences between the comparables and the subject. After applying the adjustments, the appraiser determined that the subject's value was \$925,000 or \$185,000 per unit, for a total of \$92,500, rounded. The appraiser also opined that the subject property, along with all listed sales of apartment buildings, includes not only real estate value, but investment/business value. The appraiser argues that the assessor agrees with this position as the assessments on the listed properties are lower than the sale prices.

Under the income approach, the appraiser relied upon area market rents. The appraiser utilized four comparables consisting of four apartments. The rental income ranged from \$1,125 to \$2,895 per month. The appraisers made adjustments for the rental comparables and concluded \$1,125 per month or \$13,500 for the one-bedroom unit; \$1,850 per month or \$44,400 for the two-bedroom unit, and \$2,700 per month or \$32,400 for the three-bedroom unit and \$2,900 per month or \$34,800 for the three-bedroom duplex unit. The appraiser concluded that the total annual potential gross income for the subject is \$125,100. The appraiser subtracted 5% for vacancy and collection loss, or \$6,255, estimating an effective gross income of \$118,845. The appraiser then estimated the subject's annual expenses as \$27,242, leaving a net operating income (NOI) of \$91,603. The appraiser then applied a total or loaded capitalization rate of 9.62% (7.00% capitalization rate + 2.62% tax load) to the Net Operating Income of \$254,391 using a Band of Investment Technique and market extraction technique, resulting in a Fair Market Value, via the Income Capitalization Approach, of \$952,214 which is rounded to \$950,000. Reconciling the approaches, the appraiser gave the income capitalization approach primary consideration and the least consideration to the sales comparison approach to arrive at a value for the subject as of January 1, 2022, of \$950,000.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal. The subject's total assessment for the subject is \$73,671. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$736,710, or \$141.62 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the Cook County Real Estate Classification Ordinance level of assessment for class 2 property of 10%.

In support of the assessment, the board of review submitted four sales comparables. The sales occurred between September 2018 and March 2021. The sales ranged from \$1 to \$1,000,000 or \$0 to \$201.53 per square foot.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal, the taxpayer must prove the value of the property by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e); Winnebago County Bd. of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Bd., 313 Ill. App. 3d 1038, 1043 (2d Dist. 2000). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales, or construction costs. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds that the best evidence of the subject's market value is the appraisal submitted by the appellant. That appraisal employed the sales comparison and income approaches. However, the appraiser gave primary consideration to the income approach. The Board finds this approach used market data to develop a net operating income and capitalized this income based on methods that also utilized market data, and therefore finds this approach, and the appraisal, reflective of the subject's market value

Accordingly, the Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$950,000 as of the assessment date at issue. Therefore, the Board finds the appellant has proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the subject is overvalued, and that a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

Member

Member

Member

<u>CERTIFICATION</u>

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: August 19, 2025

Will Date

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

811 Foster, LLC, by attorney: Steven Kandelman Rieff Schramm Kanter & Guttman 100 North LaSalle St. Suite 1410 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602