



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Christina Wild
DOCKET NO.: 22-25905.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 05-20-403-006-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Christina Wild, the appellant(s), by attorney Michaela N. Ryan, of the Law Office, Michaela Nolan Ryan in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$25,713
IMPR.: \$80,287
TOTAL: \$106,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of stucco exterior with 3,080¹ square feet of living area. The dwelling was 116 years old. Features of the home include a partial basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a one-car garage. The property has a 9,350 square foot site and is located in Winnetka, New Trier Township, Cook County. The property is a class 2-06 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation and assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$1,060,000 as of January 1, 2022. The appraisal was prepared by a certified

¹ The board of review notes that the assessor maintains the square feet of living area of the subject as 2,938 while the appraisal has it as 3,080. The Board finds that the subject property improvement has 3,080 square feet of living area based on the inspection by the appraiser.

residential real estate appraiser who inspected the property on January 4, 2022, and asserted that the highest and best use of the subject property as improved was its existing use. The appraiser used the sales comparison approach to valuation. The appellant disclosed that the subject property is owner-occupied.

Under the sales comparison approach, the appraiser utilized three comparable sales properties located within a 0.32-mile radius of the subject. The comparable properties sites ranged in size from 8,580 to 9,350 square feet of land area and from 2,810 to 3,117 square feet of living area. The properties are each improved with a single-family dwelling of frame or brick or frame construction with some stucco exterior that were from 103 to 116 years old. The comparable properties sold from March 2021 to August 2021 for prices ranging from \$975,000 to \$1,100,000 or from \$344.24 to \$366.67 per square foot of living area, land included in the sales prices. The appraiser adjusted, if applicable, for location, gross living area, financing concessions, quality of construction, room count, garage, fireplace, and room below grade. The appraiser concluded that based on the sales data and applying adjustments to the comparable sales for differences from the subject, the subject had a market value of \$1,060,000. Based on this evidence the appellant is seeking a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the appraisal.

In support of the argument of assessment inequity the appellant submitted information on three class 2-06 equity comparable properties with varying degrees of similarity to the subject which are located within a 0.8-mile radius of the subject. The improvements ranged: in age from 98 to 133 years; in size from 2,480 to 4,088 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessment from \$15.33 to \$17.98 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the appellant is seeking a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$121,999. The record include the Cook County board of review 2022 decision which indicates a total assessment of \$116,232 and the Board relies on that decision. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,162,320 or \$377.38 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the level of assessments for class two property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on two class 2-06 and two class 2-78 comparable sales properties which sold from January 2019 to November 2022 for sales prices from \$1,348,000 to \$1,600,000 or from \$416.31 to \$521.51 per square foot of living area, land included in the sales prices. These properties were in the same neighborhood code as the subject, including one in the same subarea, one within a ¼-mile, and one within a block of the subject. The improvements were from 24 to 101 years old and had from 3,024 to 3,295 square feet of living area.

The board of review submitted the same properties in support of their assertion of the correct assessment based on assessment equity and disclosed that these properties had improvement assessments from \$25.46 to \$41.09 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

The appellant submitted written rebuttal asserting that two of the board of review's comparables were of a different property class than the subject and that the appellant's appraisal is the best evidence of market value of the subject property.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends, in part, that the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appraisal submitted by the appellant. The Board finds the appellant submitted a credible appraisal report with reasonable and logical adjustments for differences from the subject. The comparable sales properties presented by the board of review lacked adjustments for significant differences when compared to the subject property. The subject's current assessment reflects a market value of \$1,162,320, which is higher than the appraised value of \$1,060,000. Based on the evidence presented, the Board finds the subject property is overvalued and a reduction commensurate with the appellant's request is warranted. Since market value has been established the level of assessment for class two property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance shall apply. (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(2)). After this reduction the Board finds that the subject property is equitably assessed.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

September 15, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Christina Wild, by attorney:
Michaela N. Ryan
Law Office, Michaela Nolan Ryan
6918 N. Odell Avenue
Chicago, IL 60631

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602