

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Kristin Coleman
DOCKET NO.: 22-25369.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 05-08-100-002-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Kristin Coleman, the appellant(s), by attorney Kyle Gordon Kamego, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$39,152 **IMPR.:** \$85,137 **TOTAL:** \$124,289

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

## **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of masonry construction with 3,540 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 96 years old. Features of the home include a partial basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a two-car garage. The property has a 13,983 square foot site and is located in Glencoe, New Trier Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-06 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparable properties with varying degrees of similarities to the subject which are located within a 0.8-mile radius of the subject. The improvements ranged: in age from 71 to 109 years; in size from 3,129 to 3,930 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessment from \$20.56 to \$23.29 per square foot of living

area. The appellant submitted the Board of Review 2022 Assessed Valuations letter reflecting a total assessed valuation of \$145,317. Based on this evidence the appellant is seeking a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$160,999. This amount reflects the total assessment before the board of review issued it's 2022 Assessed Valuations Letter which rendered a 2022 Assessed Valuation Board of Review Final total assessment of \$145,317. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$106,165 or \$29.99 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four class 2-06 equity comparable properties with varying degrees of similarities to the subject which are located; two within a ¼ mile radius of the subject; one in the same subarea at the subject; and one on the same block as the subject. The improvements ranged: in age from 85 to 106 years; in size from 2,667 to 3,676 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessment from \$29.33 to \$33.05 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted eight equity comparable properties for the Board's consideration in determining assessment equity. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #2, #3, and #4 and board of review's comparable #4. Appellant's comparables were similar to the subject in size, central air conditioning, and garage space. Two of these comparables have partial basements like the subject while one has a full basement. Two of the comparables have three fireplaces while the subject has one fireplace. The board of review comparable is similar to the subject in age, size, central air conditioning, and one fireplace. This comparable has one more full bathroom than the subject but has no garage while the subject has a two-car garage. This comparable has a full basement while the subject has a partial basement. These comparable properties were similar to the subject and had improvement assessments that ranged from \$21.35 to \$29.33 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$29.99 per square foot of living area falls above the range established by the best comparable properties in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
C. L. R.	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	October 21, 2025
	111.1016
	Man On
-	Clark of the Dropouts Tox Amneel Doord

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Kristin Coleman, by attorney: Kyle Gordon Kamego Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 40 Skokie Blvd Suite 150 Northbrook, IL 60062

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602