

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Marshall Cordell DOCKET NO.: 22-24932.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 11-18-421-012-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Marshall Cordell, the appellant, by Dora Cornelio, attorney-at-law of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$36,575 **IMPR.:** \$77,176 **TOTAL:** \$113,751

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of stucco exterior construction containing 3,508 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 118 years old. Features of the property include a full basement with a recreation room, three fireplaces, three bathrooms, and a 2-car garage. The property has a 14,630 square foot site located in Evanston, Evanston Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-06 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends inequity regarding the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables consisting of class 2-06 properties improved with two-story dwellings of frame or stucco exterior construction that range in size from 3,200 to 3,781 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 106 to 134 years old. Each comparable has a full basement with

finished area, one or two fireplaces, two or three full bathrooms, and one or two half bathrooms. One comparable has central air conditioning, and four comparables have a 1-car, 2-car or 3-car garage. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$68,850 to \$84,250 or from \$20.46 to \$22.28 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$75,457.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$122,000. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$85,425 or \$24.35 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables composed of class 2-06 properties improved with two-story dwellings of frame or stucco exterior construction that range in size from 3,248 to 4,092 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 119 to 139 years old. Each property has a full unfinished basement, one or two fireplaces, two or three full bathrooms, and one or two half bathrooms. Three comparables have central air conditioning, and two comparables have a two-car garage. The board of review indicated the subject is in average condition while the comparables are in either average condition or deluxe condition with comparable #3 being renovated. These properties have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located in the same block or ½ of a mile from the subject. Their improvement assessments range from \$93,148 to \$117,440 or from \$24.80 to \$34.43 per square foot of living area.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted information on nine equity comparables with the same classification code and neighborhood code as the subject property to support their respective positions. The Board gives less weight to appellant's comparable #1 as this property has central air conditioning, a feature the subject does not have. The Board gives less weight to appellant's comparable #3 as this property has no garage, which is a feature of the subject property. The Board gives less weight to the board of review comparables due to differences from the subject in basement finish, central air conditioning amenity, lack of a garage, and/or differences from the subject property in condition/renovation. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #2, #4 and #5 that range in size from 3,200 to 3,781 square feet of living area and in age from 106 to 134 years old. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$68,850 to \$84,250 or from \$21.18 to \$22.28 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$85,425 or \$24.35 per square foot of living area falls above the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board

finds the appellant demonstrated with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

	Chairman
C. R.	asort Soffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	July 15, 2025
	111-11716
	Mand
	Clade of the December Town Associal December

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Marshall Cordell, by attorney: Dora Cornelio Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. 111 W. Washington St. Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602