



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Buzz Kaehier
DOCKET NO.: 22-24291.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 05-18-308-019-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Buzz Kaehier, the appellant(s), by attorney Michael R. O'Malley, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$38,354
IMPR.: \$25,721
TOTAL: \$64,075

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of frame construction with 2,699 square feet of living area. The dwelling was 66 years old. Features of the home include a full basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a two-car garage. The property has a 21,917 square foot site and is located in Winnetka, New Trier Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-04 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation and assessment inequity. In support of the argument of overvaluation the appellant submitted evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on September 14, 2020, for a price of \$640,750. Appellant submitted section IV - Recent Sale Data - of the residential appeal form asserting that the property was not transferred between family members or related corporations, the property was sold using a realtor, it was

advertised on the multiple listing services for two months, was not sold due to a foreclosure actions, and was not sold using a contract for deed. The appellant submitted a settlement statement and a sales contract. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price.

In support of the argument of assessment inequity the appellant submitted information on five equity comparable properties with varying degrees of similarity to the subject which are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject but for which the appellant did not provide proximity to the subject. The improvements ranged: in age from 60 to 100 years; in size from 2,441 to 2,764 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessment from \$19.00 to \$21.12 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the appellant is seeking a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$96,276. The record includes the 2022 Cook County board of review assessment decision which indicates a total assessment of \$96,275 and the Board relies on that decision. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$962,750 or \$356.71 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the level of assessments for class two property of 10% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on two comparable sales properties which sold in August and September 2021 for sales prices of \$711,000 and \$1,500,000 or for \$364.96 and \$365.93 per square foot of living area, land included in the sales prices. These properties were located in the same subarea as the subject, were 67 and 68 years old, and had 1,943 and 4,110 square feet of living area.

In further support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review offered information on four class 2-04 equity comparable properties, including the two properties used in the comparable sales analysis. These properties were located within the same subarea as the subject. The improvements ranged: in age from 62 to 70 years; in size from 1,943 to 4,110 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessment from \$22.03 to \$27.14 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends, in part, that the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property in September 2020 for a price of \$640,750. The appellant provided evidence demonstrating the sale had the elements of an arm's length transaction. The appellant completed Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the appeal disclosing the parties to the transaction were not related, the

property was sold using a Realtor, the property had been advertised on the open market with the multiple listing services, and it had been on the market for two months. In further support of the transaction the appellant submitted a copy of the sales contract and settlement statement. The Board finds the purchase price is below the market value reflected by the assessment. The Board finds the board of review did not present any evidence to challenge the arm's length nature of the transaction or sufficient evidence to refute the contention that the purchase price was reflective of market value. Based on this record the Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$640,750 as of January 1, 2022. Since market value has been determined the level of assessments for class two property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10% shall apply. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(2). After this reduction the Board finds that the subject property is equitably assessed.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

September 15, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Buzz Kaehier, by attorney:
Michael R. O'Malley
Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd.
111 West Washington St.
Suite 1300
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602