

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Edmund Eisenberg
DOCKET NO.: 22-24057.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 05-18-200-027-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Edmund Eisenberg, the appellant, by attorney Abby L. Strauss, of Schiller Law P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$43,665 **IMPR.:** \$48,835 **TOTAL:** \$92,500

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of brick and stucco exterior construction with 3,777 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1952 and is approximately 70 years old. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, a 2-car garage and an inground swimming pool. The property has a 17,466 square foot site and is located in Glencoe, New Trier Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-04 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$925,000

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Board finds the best description of the subject property was found in the appraisal report, disclosing the subject has an inground swimming pool, which was not refuted by the board of review.

as of January 1, 2022. The appraisal was prepared by Audrey Clamage, a Certified Residential Real Estate Appraiser which was developed in support of a property tax appeal.

In estimating the market value of the subject property, the appraiser developed the sales comparison approach to value selecting three comparable sales located from 0.50 of a mile to 1.54 miles from the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from 7,150 to 13,818 square feet of land area and are improved with 2-story or 2.5-story dwellings of average quality construction ranging in size from 2,817 to 4,164 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 88 to 102 years old. Each comparable has a basement, with two having finished area. Each dwelling has air conditioning,<sup>2</sup> a fireplace and a 1-car or a 2-car garage. The comparables sold from March to June 2021 for prices ranging from \$885,000 to \$999,000 or from \$212.54 to \$354.63 per square foot of living area, land included.

The appraiser adjusted the comparables for differences with the subject in site size, room count, dwelling size, finished basement area, swimming pool amenity and other features arriving at adjusted sale prices of the comparables ranging from \$906,650 to \$1,062,000 and an opinion of market value for the subject of \$925,000. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to reflect the appraised value of the subject when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$129,000. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,290,000 or \$341.54 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three properties where comparables #1 and #3 are equity comparables and comparable #2 has a recent sale. Since equity comparables are not responsive to the appellant's market value argument, the Board shall not analyze or discuss these two comparables. Comparable #2 is located on the same block as the subject, has a 14,602 square foot site and is improved with a 1.5-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction. The property has 3,869 square feet of living area and is 92 years old. The dwelling has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and a 2-car garage. Comparable #2 has "other improvements" which were not further described. The property sold in June 2020 for \$997,137 or \$257.72 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

In rebuttal, the appellant argued the board of review failed to provide more than one comparable sale and that one sale does not overcome the appellant's appraisal evidence.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Appraisal comparable #1 is reported to have a "SpacePac" air conditioning system.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales, or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The appellant submitted an appraisal, and the board of review submitted one comparable sale for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to board of review comparable #2 which sold 18 months prior to the assessment date at issue.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appraisal submitted by the appellant. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,290,000, which falls above the subject's appraised value. The Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$925,000 as of the assessment date at issue. Since market value has been established the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment classification ordinance of 10% shall apply. (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(2)).

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fen
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinie	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	December 23, 2025
	Michl 215
	Clerk of the Property Tay Appeal Roard

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

Edmund Eisenberg, by attorney: Abby L. Strauss Schiller Law P.C. 33 North Dearborn Suite 1130 Chicago, IL 60602

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602