



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Dave Nimick  
DOCKET NO.: 22-23294.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 05-20-225-012-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Dave Nimick, the appellant, by attorney Thomas E. Sweeney of Siegel Jennings Co., LPA in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **no change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$25,712  
**IMPR.:** \$102,396  
**TOTAL:** \$128,108

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction with 3,220 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 99 years old. The home features a full basement with finished area,<sup>1</sup> three full bathrooms, one half bathroom, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 2-car garage. The property has a 9,350 square foot site and is located in Winnetka, New Trier Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-06 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code and property classification code as the subject, three of which are located along the same street as the subject property. The

---

<sup>1</sup> The appellant disclosed the subject dwelling has finished area in the basement.

comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of stucco, frame or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,749 to 3,622 square feet of living area. The dwellings are from 95 to 138 years old. The appellant reported that each comparable has a full or partial basement with finished area. Each comparable has two or three full bathrooms and four comparables each have an additional one or two half bathrooms. The comparables have central air conditioning and one or two fireplaces. Four comparables each have a 1.5-car or a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$60,690 to \$93,663 or from \$20.55 to \$25.86 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$74,318 or \$23.08 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$128,108. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$102,396 or \$31.80 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code and property classification code as the subject. The comparables are located approximately ¼ of a mile from the subject property or within the subject's subarea. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of stucco, frame or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 3,000 to 3,255 square feet of living area. The dwellings are from 96 to 104 years old. The comparables each have a full basement, one of which has finished area. Each comparable has from two to four full bathrooms, one or two half bathrooms, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and either a 2-car, a 2.5-car or a 3-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$84,288 to \$125,287 or from \$28.10 to \$38.49 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine comparable properties for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparables #1, #2, #3 and #5 due to differences from the subject dwelling in age or size.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable #4, along with the four comparables submitted by the board of review which have the same assessment neighborhood code and property classification code as the subject. The comparable are similar to the subject dwelling in size, design and age. However, these five comparables

have varying degrees of similarity to the subject in features, such as bathroom count, fireplace count, basement finish and garage capacity, if any, suggesting adjustments would be required to make the comparables more equivalent to the subject. Nevertheless, the comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$82,219 to \$125,287 or from \$24.82 to \$38.49 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$102,396 or \$31.80 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in the record. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence presented.

Based on this record, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

August 19, 2025



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Dave Nimick, by attorney:  
Thomas E. Sweeney  
Siegel Jennings Co., LPA  
77 W. Wacker Dr.  
Suite 4500  
Chicago, IL 60601

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602