



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Vivek Mehta
DOCKET NO.: 22-23027.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 01-22-105-020-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Vivek Mehta, the appellant(s), by attorney Stephanie Park, of Park & Longstreet, P.C. in Inverness; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$23,156
IMPR.: \$151,844
TOTAL: \$175,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of an approximately 11-year-old, two-story dwelling of masonry construction with 6,897 square feet of living area. Features of the dwelling include a full basement and a 2.5-car garage. The property has a 57,891 square foot site located in South Barrington, Barrington Township, Cook County. The property is a class 2-09 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity and overvaluation as the bases of the appeal. In support of the overvaluation argument, the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$1,750,000 as of January 1, 2022. The appraisal was prepared by Charles Walsh, a licensed certified residential real estate appraiser. The appraiser relied on the sales comparison approach in their evaluation. For the sales comparison approach, the appraiser used sales of three comparable properties in South Barrington, IL that took place

between March 2021 and January 2022 for amounts ranging from \$1,638,000 to \$1,966,850, or from \$239.05 to \$291.43 per square foot of living area, land included in the sales prices. The appraiser adjusted the sales prices to account for differences between the subject and the comparables. The appraiser personally inspected the subject property on December 13, 2022. Photographs of the subject dwelling's exterior and interior were included with the appraisal.

In support of the lack of equity argument, the appellant submitted information on four suggested equity comparable properties with varying degrees of similarities to the subject. These comparables are described as masonry construction, two-story dwellings. They range in age from four to 19 years; in size from 6,731 to 6,996 square feet of living area; and an improvement assessment of \$11.01 to \$19.59 per square foot of living area. The properties have four-car garages. The appellant did not disclose the proximate distance to the subject for the suggested comparables. The appellant requested the subject's total assessment be reduced.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$198,375. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$175,219 or \$18.74 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four suggested equity comparable properties with varying degrees of similarities to the subject. These comparables are described as masonry construction, two-story dwellings. They range in age from seven to 16 years; in size from 7,572 to 11,066 square feet of living area; and an improvement assessment ranging from \$20.27 to \$23.53 per square foot of living area. These properties have full basements and three- or four-car garages. The suggested comparables are located on the same block as the subject. The board of review requested that the assessment be confirmed.

In rebuttal, the appellant submitted the appraiser's file notes documenting his determination of the subject's improvement size of 6,897 square feet of living area based on his review of the plans and personal inspection of the subject. The appellant pointed out characteristic differences in the board of review's suggested comparables to the subject and reaffirmed its position that the total assessment is excessive and should be reduced.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer asserts that the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal, the taxpayer must prove the value of the property by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e); Winnebago County Bd. of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Bd., 313 Ill. App. 3d 1038, 1043 (2d Dist. 2000). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment on this basis is warranted.

The Board finds the appraisal to be persuasive because the appraiser, Mr. Walsh, went to the property and personally inspected the subject on December 13, 2022. Per the appraiser's file notes, the Board finds that the subject gross living area (GLA) of 6,897 sq feet was derived from measurements taken of the subject by Mr. Walsh during his personal inspection. The Board finds Mr. Walsh personally took his own measurements of the subject, and in contrast, the board of

review did not submit any evidence or explanation to support the difference in the appraisal or assessor's calculations, the Board gives more weight to the appraisal.

The Board finds that the best evidence of the subject's market value is the appraisal submitted by the appellant. That appraisal employed the sales comparison approach and relied upon recent sales of three suggested comparable properties. The appraisal stated that the sale prices of the suggested comparable properties were adjusted to account for differences between them and the subject, taking into account such factors as gross living area, design/features, lot size, age, and location. In contrast, the board of review's evidence consists of unadjusted raw sales figures.

Based on the appraiser's final reconciliation, the Board finds the sales comparison approach is considered to be the most reflective of current market activity. Accordingly, the Board finds the subject property had a fair market value of \$1,750,000 as of the assessment date at issue. Since market value has been established, the level of assessment for the class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10% shall apply here. (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(2)). Based on the evidence, the Board therefore finds the appellant has proven, by a preponderance of the evidence that the subject is overvalued, and that a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified. Since market value has been determined, the Board finds that the subject is now fairly and equitably assessed. See *Central Nursing Realty, LLC v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board*, 2020 IL App (1st) 180994, ¶¶ 34-36.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

September 15, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Vivek Mehta, by attorney:
Stephanie Park
Park & Longstreet, P.C.
1620 W Colonial Pkwy.
Inverness, IL 60067

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602