



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: John Edward Large  
DOCKET NO.: 22-20452.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 12-02-228-029-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are John Edward Large, the appellant, by attorney Dora Cornelio, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$8,750  
**IMPR.:** \$63,250  
**TOTAL:** \$72,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction with 3,491 square feet of living area and which is approximately 33 years old. Features include a full unfinished basement, 3½ bathrooms, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a two-car garage. The property has a 6,250 square foot site and is located in Park Ridge, Norwood Park Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity concerning the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables consist of class 2-78 two-story dwellings of masonry, or frame and masonry exterior construction which range in age from 32 to 51 years old. The dwellings range in size from 3,162 to 3,705 square

feet of living area. The foundation types of each of the comparables was reported as “unknown.” Features include 2 or 3 bathrooms, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a two-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$47,904 to \$55,345 or from \$14.38 to \$15.67 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced improvement assessment of \$52,888 or \$15.15 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$72,000. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$63,250 or \$18.12 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject where three comparables are in the subarea or ¼ of a mile from the subject. The comparables consist of class 2-78 two-story dwellings of frame or masonry exterior construction which are 16 to 22 years old. The dwellings range in size from 3,257 to 3,609 square feet of living area. The comparables have full basements, two with finished area, 2½ or 3½ bathrooms, central air conditioning, and a 2-car or a 2.5-car garage. Three comparables have one or two fireplaces. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$60,234 to \$72,820 or from \$18.49 to \$21.32 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject’s assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of nine suggested equity comparables to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board which are similar to the subject in neighborhood code and classification. The Board has given reduced weight to appellant’s comparables #1 and #5, due to differences in age as these homes are 50 and 51 years old whereas the subject is 33 years old.

The Board finds the best equity comparables in the record are the appellant’s comparables #2, #3 and #4 along with the board of review comparables, which are somewhat similar to the subject in age ranging from 16 to 33 years old, in dwelling size ranging from 3,162 to 3,705 square feet of living area, central air conditioning, bathroom count, and garage amenity. Each of these best comparables necessitate adjustments to account for differences, including, for bathroom count, differences in fireplace count/amenity, and garage capacity in each of the best comparables when

compared to the subject garage in order to make them more equivalent to the subject. The best comparables in the record have improvement assessments ranging from \$47,904 to \$72,820 or from \$14.94 to \$21.32 per square foot of living area. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$63,250 or \$18.12 per square foot of living area which is within the range of the best comparables in the record both in terms of overall improvement assessment and on a per-square-foot of living area basis.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the taxation burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill. 2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence.

Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject to make the comparables more similar to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

October 21, 2025



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

John Edward Large, by attorney:  
Dora Cornelio  
Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd.  
111 W. Washington St.  
Suite 1300  
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602