



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Mark Ames  
DOCKET NO.: 22-03614.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 08-14-310-006

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Mark Ames, the appellant, by Jessica Hill-Magiera, Attorney at Law in Lake Zurich; and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$51,650  
**IMPR.:** \$93,280  
**TOTAL:** \$144,930

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 1-story raised-ranch style dwelling of frame and brick exterior construction with 2,000 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1968. Features of the home include a basement with finished area and a 2-car garage with 440 square feet of building area. The property has a 10,348 square foot site and is located in Lisle, Lisle Township, DuPage County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity concerning the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with 1-story homes ranging in size from 1,824 to 2,148 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1963 to 1973. Each home has a basement with finished area and a garage ranging in size from 220 to 460 square feet of building area. The

comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$79,740 to \$89,130 or from \$41.49 to \$43.72 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$144,930. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$93,280 or \$46.64 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables, together with a map depicting the locations of both parties' comparables in relation to the subject. The comparables are located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are improved with raised-ranch style homes of frame or frame and brick exterior construction ranging in size from 1,500 to 1,510 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1962 to 1972. Each home has a basement with finished area and a 2-car garage. Comparable #2 has central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$71,300 to \$76,250 or from \$47.22 to \$50.83 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In written rebuttal, the appellant argued the board of review's comparables differ from the subject in dwelling size.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of seven equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables, due to substantial differences from the subject in design. The Board also gives less weight to the board of review's comparable #2, which has central air conditioning unlike the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review's comparables #1 and #3, which are similar to the subject in age, location, and features, although these comparables are much smaller homes than the subject, suggesting upward adjustments to these comparables would be needed to make them more equivalent to the subject. These two comparables have improvement assessments of \$71,300 and \$76,250 or \$47.22 and \$50.83 per square foot of living area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$93,280 or \$46.64 per square foot of living area falls above the best comparables in this record, but appears

to be justified given the subject's larger dwelling size compared to the two best comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 16, 2024



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Mark Ames, by attorney:  
Jessica Hill-Magiera  
Attorney at Law  
790 Harvest Drive  
Lake Zurich, IL 60047

COUNTY

DuPage County Board of Review  
DuPage Center  
421 N. County Farm Road  
Wheaton, IL 60187