



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Aaron & Elizabeth Mitra
DOCKET NO.: 22-03579.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 05-11-114-013

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Aaron & Elizabeth Mitra, the appellants, by attorney Jessica Hill-Magiera, Attorney at Law in Lake Zurich, and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$31,090
IMPR.: \$180,930
TOTAL: \$212,020

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 1,966 square feet of living area.¹ The dwelling was constructed in 1928. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 440 square foot garage. The property has an 8,058 square foot site and is located in Glen Ellyn, Milton Township, DuPage County.

The appellants contend assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellants submitted information on eight equity comparables improved with two-story dwellings of frame or brick exterior construction that

¹ The board of review's spreadsheet listed the subject dwelling as a 1.5-story, however, the subject's property record card, photograph, description, and diagram, which were offered by the board of review, indicate the dwelling is a two-story structure.

range in size from 1,854 to 2,103 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1920 to 1928. Each comparable has a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, and a garage ranging in size from 400 to 657 square feet of building area. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located from 0.15 to 0.45 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$102,470 to \$167,250 or from \$54.62 to \$82.92 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$127,027.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$212,020. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$180,930 or \$92.03 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables along with pictures, property record cards, and a map depicting the location of the subject property, the appellants' comparables, and the board of review's comparables. The board of review's comparables are improved with three, two-story dwellings and one, 1.5-story dwelling of frame, brick or frame and brick exterior construction that range in size from 1,570 to 2,048 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1920 to 1934. Each comparable has a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, and a garage ranging in size from 272 to 651 square feet of building area. Three of the dwellings also have a fireplace. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located from 0.17 to 0.24 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$138,690 to \$197,260 or from \$88.34 to \$101.42 per square foot of living area.

In written rebuttal, the appellants' counsel argued county comparables #1, #3 and #4 are not comparable to the subject due to differences in size and/or style.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayers contend assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellants did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains 12 equity comparables submitted by the parties to support their respective positions. The Board gives less weight to the board of review's comparables #1, #3 and #4 due to differences from the subject dwelling in size. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellants' comparables along with the board of review's comparable #2. These comparables are relatively similar to the subject dwelling in terms of size, age, location and amenities, although adjustments to some of the comparables, to account for differences in some features, would be needed to make them more equivalent to the subject. These comparables had improvement assessments that range from \$102,470 to \$197,260 or from \$54.62 to \$96.32 per

square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$180,930 or \$92.03 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this evidence and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellants did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 16, 2024



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Aaron & Elizabeth Mitra , by attorney:
Jessica Hill-Magiera
Attorney at Law
790 Harvest Drive
Lake Zurich, IL 60047

COUNTY

DuPage County Board of Review
DuPage Center
421 N. County Farm Road
Wheaton, IL 60187